arXiv:math-ph/0702087v2 27 Aug 2007

APPLICATION OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS TO THE GLOBAL SOLVABILTY OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR EQUATIONS OF VISCOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE LIQUID

Asset Durmagambetov*

Buketov Karaganda State University.
Institute of Applied Mathematics RK 100028 28, University street

February 7, 2008

Abstract

The interrelation between analytic functions and real-valued functions is formulated in the work. It is shown such an interrelation realizes nonlinear representations for real-valued functions that allows to develop new methods of estimation for them. These methods of estimation are approved by solving the Cauchy problem for equations of viscous incompressible liquid.

Keywords: Shrödinger ,Cauchy problem, Navier-Stokes',inverse, analytic functions,scattering theory .

2000 MSC: 35Q304,76D05

---

*aset.durmagambetov@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The work of L. Fadeyev dedicated to the many-dimensional inverse problem of scattering theory inspired the author of this article to conduct this research. The first results obtained by the author are described in the works [2,3,4]. This problem includes a number of subproblems which appear to be very interesting and complicated. These subproblems are thoroughly considered in the works of the following scientists: R. Newton [6], R. Faddeyev [1], R. Novikov and G. Khenkin [5], A. Ramm [4] and others. The latest advances in the theory of SIPM(Scattering Inverse Problem Method) were a great stimulus for the author as well as other researchers. Another important stimulus was the work of M. Lavrentyev on the application of analytic functions to Hydrodynamics. Only one-dimensional equations were integrated by SIPM. The application of analytic functions to Hydrodynamics is restricted only by bidimensional problems. The further progress in applying SIPM to the solution of nonlinear equations in R3 was hampered by the poor development of the three-dimensional inverse problem of scattering in comparison with the progress achieved in the work on the one-dimensional inverse problem of scattering and also by the difficulties the researchers encountered building up the corresponding Lax' pairs. It is easy to come to a conclusion that all the success in developing the theory of SIPM is connected with analytic functions, i.e., solutions to Schrodinger's equation. Therefore we consider Schrodinger's equation as an interrelation between real-valued functions and analytic functions, where real-valued functions are potentials in Schrodinger's equation and analytic functions are the corresponding eigenfunctions of the continuous spectrum of Schrodinger's operator. The basic aim of the paper is to study this interrelation and its application for obtaining new estimates to the solutions of the problem for Navier-Stokes' equations. We concentrated on formulating the conditions of momentum and energy conservation laws in terms of potential instead of formulating them in terms of wave functions. As a result of our study, we obtained non-trivial nonlinear relationships of potential. The effectiveness and novelty of the obtained results are displayed when solving the notoriously difficult Chauchy problem for Navier-Stokes' equations of viscous incompressible fluid.

1 Basic Notions and Subsidiary Statements

Let us consider Shrödinger's equation $-\Delta_x\varphi + q\varphi = |k|^2\varphi$ (1.1)

where q - is a bounded fast-decreasing function,$k \in R^3$, $|k|^2 = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{3} k_j^2$.

Definition 1.1 *Rolnik's Class* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a set of measurable functions* q,

$$||q||_{\mathbf{R}} = \int\limits_{R^6} \frac{q(x)q(y)}{|x-y|^2}dxdy < \infty.$$

It is considered to be a general definition ([8], p. 110).

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$*; then a exists a unique solution of equation (1.1), with asymptotic form (1.2) as* $|x| \to \infty$

$$\varphi_\pm(k,x) = e^{i(k,x)} + \frac{e^{\pm i|k||x|}}{|x|}A_\pm(k,k') + 0\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$x \in R^3, k' = |k|\frac{x}{|x|}, (k,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} k_j x_j,$$

$$A_\pm(k,\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int\limits_{R^3} q(x)\phi_\pm(k,x)e^{-i(\lambda,x)}dx.$$

The proof of this theorem is in [8], p. 110.

Consider the operators $H = -\Delta_x + q(x)$, $H_0 = -\Delta_x$ defined in the dense set $W_2^2(R^3)$ in the space $L_2(R^3)$. The operator H is called Schrodinger's operator. Povzner [9] proved that the functions $\varphi_\pm(k,x)$ form a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions of the continuous spectrum of the operator H, and the operator fills up the whole positive semi-axis. Besides the continuous spectrum the operator H can have a finite number N of negative eigenvalues Denote these eigenvalues by $-E_j^2$ and conforming normalized egenfunctions by $\psi_j(x,-E_j^2)(j=\overline{1,N})$,where $\psi_j(x,-E_j^2) \in L_2(R^3)$.

Theorem 1.2 ***(About Completeness)*** *For any vector-function $f \in L_2(R^3)$ and eigenfunctions of the operator H, we have Parseval's identity*

$$|f|_{L_2}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} |f_j|^2 + \int\limits_{R^3} |\bar{f}(s)|^2 ds \tag{1.3}$$

where f_j and $\bar{f}$ are Fourier coefficients in case of discrete of and continuous spectrum respectively.

The proof of this theorem is in [9].

Theorem 1.3 ***(Birman - Schwinger's Estimate).*** *Suppose $q \in R$. Then the number of discrete eigenvalues of Shrödinger operator satisfies the estimate*

$$N(q) \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{q(x)q(y)}{|x-y|^2} dxdy.$$

The proof of this theorem is in [14], p.114.

Definition 1.2 *([8], p.118)*

$$T_{\pm}(k,k') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \varphi_{\pm}(x,k') e^{\mp i(k,x)} q(x) dx. \quad T_{\pm}(.,.) \textit{is called T- matrix.}$$

Let us take into consideration a series for $T_{\pm}$:

$$T_{\pm}(k,k') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n_{\pm}}(k,k'), \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$T_{0_{\pm}}(k,k') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int\limits_{R^3} e^{i(k' \mp k, x)} q(x) dx,$$

$$T_{n_{\pm}}(k,k') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(-1)^n}{(4\pi)^n} \int\limits_{R^{3(n+1)}} e^{\mp i(k,x_0)} q(x_0) \frac{e^{\pm i|k'||x_0-x_1|}}{|x_0-x_1|} q(x_1) \times ... \times$$

$$\times ... \times q(x_{n-1}) \frac{e^{\pm i|k'||x_{n-1}-x_n|}}{|x_{n-1}-x_n|} q(x_n) e^{i(k',x_n)} dx_0 ... dx_n.$$

As well as in [8], p.120 we formulate.

Definition 1.3 *Series (1.4) is called Born's series.*

Theorem 1.4 *Let* $q \in L_1(R^3) \cap \mathbf{R}$ *. If* $\|q\|^2_{\mathbf{R}} \leq 4\pi$*, then Born's series for* $T(k,k')$ *converges as* $k, k' \in R^3$*.*

The proof of the theorem is in [8], 121.

Definition 1.4 *Suppose* $q \in R$*; then the function* $A(k,\lambda)$*, denoted by the following equality*

$$A(k,\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int\limits_{R^3} q(x)\phi_+(k,x)e^{-i(\lambda,x)}dx, \text{ is called scattering amplitude} \tag{1.5}$$

Corollary 1.1 *Scattering amplitude* $A(k,\lambda)$ *is equal to* T*-matrix*

$$A(k,\lambda) = T_+(\lambda,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int\limits_{R^3} q(x)\phi_+(k,x)e^{-i(\lambda,x)}dx.$$

The proof follows from definition 1.4.

It is a well-known fact [1] that the solutions $\phi_+(k,x)$ and $\phi_-(k,x)$ of equation (1.1) are linearly dependent

$$\phi_+ = S\phi_- \tag{1.6}$$

where S is a scattering operator with the nucleus $S(k,\lambda)$ of the form $S(k,\lambda) = \int\limits_{R^3} \phi_+(k,x)\phi^*_+(\lambda,x)dx.$

Theorem 1.5 ***(Conservation law of Impulse and Energy)*** *Assume that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$*, then*

$$SS^* = I, S^*S = I, \text{ where } I \text{ is an unit operator.}$$

The proof is in [1].

Let us use the following definitions

$$\widetilde{q}(k) = \int\limits_{R^3} q(x)e^{i(k,x)}dx, \ \widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)) = \int\limits_{R^3} q(x)e^{i(k-\lambda,x)}dx,$$

$$\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(k) = \int\limits_{R^3} \widetilde{q}(k-\lambda))\delta(|k|^2 - |\lambda|^2)d\lambda,$$

$$A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) = \int\limits_{R^3} A(k,l))\delta(|k|^2 - |l|^2)dl, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\int f(k,l)de_k = \int\limits_{R^3} f(k,l)\delta(|k|^2 - |l|^2)dk, \quad \int f(k,l)de_\lambda = \int\limits_{R^3} f(k,l)\delta(k^2 - |l|^2)dl,$$

where $k, \lambda \in R^3$ and $e_k = \frac{k}{|k|}$, $e_\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|}$.

2 Estimate of Amplitude Maximum

Let us consider the problem of estimating the maximum of amplitude, i.e., $\max\limits_{k\in R^3}|A(k,k)|$. Let us estimate the n term of Born's series $|T_n(k,k)|$.

Lemma 2.1 *$|T_n(k,k)|$ satisfies the inequality*

$$|T_{n+1}(k,k)| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n+1}}\frac{\gamma^n}{(2\pi)^{2(n+1)}}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|^2}{|k|^2}dk,$$

$$\gamma = C\delta||q|| + 4\pi M\widetilde{q}\delta, \quad C\delta = 2\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\delta}},$$

where δ-is a small value, C is a positive number, $M\widetilde{q} = \max\limits_{k\in R^3}|\widetilde{q}|$.

Proof. It follows from the definition of Born's series (1.3), that

$$|T_n(k,k)| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^n}|B_n|,$$

$$B_n = \int\limits_{R^{3(n+1)}}\frac{q(x_0)}{|x_0-x_1|}\frac{q(x_1)}{|x_1-x_2|}\cdots\frac{q(x_{n-1})}{|x_{n-1}-x_n|}|q_{x_n}|dx_0\ldots dx_n.$$

For the purposes of clarity, let us consider the following cases.

1. Let us calculate

$$B_1 = \int\limits_{R_6}\frac{q(x_0)q(x_1)}{|x_0-x_1|}dx_0dx_1$$

such that

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{x_0-x_1}, \widetilde{f}(k) = \frac{2\pi}{|k|^2}e^{i(k,x_1)}.$$

Let (q,f) be the scalar product of the functions q and f in L_2, where simbol $*$ is a complex-conjugate value. Then

$$\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{q(x_0)}{|x_0-x_1|}dx_0 = (q,f) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}(\widetilde{q},\widetilde{f}^*) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int\limits_{R^3}\widetilde{q}(k)\frac{e^{-i(k,x_1)}}{|k|^2}dk.$$

And

$$B_1 = \int\limits_{R^6} \frac{q(x_0)}{|x_0 - x_1|} dx_0 q(x_1) dx_1 =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k)}{|k|^2} e^{-i(k,x_1)} dk q(x_1) dx_1 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|^2}{|k|^2} dk.$$

2. Let us calculate

$$B_2 = \int\limits_{R^9} \frac{q(x_0)}{|x_0 - x_1|} dx_0 \frac{q(x_1)}{|x_1 - x_2|} dx_1 q(x_2) dx_2 =$$

$$= \frac{2\pi}{(2\pi)^3} \int\limits_{R^9} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k)}{|k|^2} e^{i(k,x_1)} dk \frac{q(x_1)}{|x_1 - x_2|} dx_1 \ q(x_2) \ dx_2.$$

Then

$$|B_2| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|}{|k|^2} dk \left| \int\limits_{R^6} \frac{q(x_1)}{|x_1 - x_2|} dx_1 \ q(x_2) \ dx_2 \right| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|}{|k|^2} dk B_1.$$

3. And, in the general case,

$$|B_{n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|}{|k|^2} dk |B_n| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \left(\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|}{|k|^2} dk \right)^n B_1.$$

Let us separately calculate the following expression:

$$\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|}{|k|^2} dk = \int\limits_{|k| \geq \delta} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|}{|k|^2} dk + \int\limits_{|k| < \delta} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|}{|k|^2} dk = a_1 + a_2,$$

where δ-is a positive number.

$$a_1 = \int\limits_{|k| \geq \delta} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|}{|k|^2} dk \leq \sqrt{\int\limits_{|k| \geq \delta} |\widetilde{q}(k)|^2 dk} \sqrt{\int\limits_{|k| \geq \delta} \frac{dk}{|k|^4}} \leq ||q|| C\delta,$$

where

$$C\delta = \sqrt{\int\limits_{|k| \geq \delta} \frac{dk}{|k|^4}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}}.$$

Let us consider

$$a_2 = \int\limits_{|k|<\delta} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|}{|k|^2} dk \leq C\delta ||q|| + 4\pi M\widetilde{q}\delta = \gamma, \ M\widetilde{q} = \max_{k\in R^3} |\widetilde{q}(k)|.$$

$$|B_{n+1}| \leq \frac{\gamma^n}{(2\pi)^{2(n+1)}} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|^2}{|k|^2} dk.$$

Therefore

$$|T_{n+1}(k,k)| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n+1}} |B_{n+1}|.$$

This proves Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that* $\gamma < 16\pi^3$, *then* $\max\limits_{k\in R^3} |A(k,k)|$ *satisfies the following estimate*

$$\max_{k\in R^3} |A(k,k)| \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{16\pi^3 - \gamma} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}(k)|^2}{|k|^2} dk,$$

where $\gamma = C\delta ||q|| + 4\pi M\widetilde{q}\delta$, δ *is a small value,* $C\delta = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}}$, $M\delta = \max\limits_{k\in R^3} |\widetilde{q}|$.

Proof. Using Born's series for amplitude, we obtain

$$A(k,k) = T(k,k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(k,k).$$

Now, correctness of the theorem statement follows from Lemma 2.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 Representation of Functions by its Spherical Averages

Let us consider the problem of defining a function by its spherical average. This problem emerged in the course of our calculation and we shall consider it hereinafter.

Let us consider the following integral equation

$$\int\limits_{R^3} \widetilde{q}(t)\delta(|t-k|^2-|k|^2)dt = f(2k), \tag{3.1}$$

where $k, t \in R^3$, δ is Dirac's delta function, $f \in W_2^2(R^3)$, $|k|^2 = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} k_i^2$, $(k,t) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3} k_i t_i$.

Let us formulate the basic result.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that $f \in W_2^2(R^3)$, then*

$$(2\pi)^2\widetilde{q}(r,\xi,\eta) = -\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\Bigg(f(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)},e_k)+$$

$$+\, f(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)},-e_k)\Bigg)\frac{r^2}{(e_k,e_s)^2}\ \sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$f(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)},e_k) = \widetilde{q}(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)},e_k), \sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi = de_k,\ \sin\xi\ d\xi d\eta = de_s,\ r=|t|.$$

Proof. Let us represent (3.1) in the following way

$$\int\limits_{R^3} \widetilde{q}(t)\delta(|t|^2-2(k,t))dt = f(2k). \tag{3.3}$$

Let us define the following new symbols and variables

$$e_t = \frac{t}{|t|},\ e_k = \frac{k}{|k|}, t_1 = |t|\sin\theta\cos\phi, t_2 = |t|\sin\theta\sin\phi,\ t_3 = |t|\cos\phi,$$

$$r = |t|, \quad \sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi = de_t.$$

Using these symbols in (3.3), we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(r,\theta,\phi) r^2 \delta(r^2 - 2r(e_t,k)) \sin\theta \; dr \; d\theta \; d\phi = f(2|k|, e_k). \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly for the opposite direction of k, we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(r,\theta,\phi) r^2 \delta(r^2 + 2r(e_t,k)) \sin\theta \; dr \; d\theta \; d\phi = f(2|k|, -e_k) \tag{3.5}$$

Suppose $\bar{\widetilde{q}}(r,\theta,\phi) = \widetilde{q}(-r,\theta,\phi)$, where the bar means is a complex conjugation.
In equation (3.5), making a change of variables $r = -r$, we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(-r,\theta,\phi) r^2 \delta(r^2 - 2r(e_t,k)) \sin\theta \; dr \; d\theta \; d\phi = f(2|k|, -e_k). \tag{3.6}$$

Summing complex conjugation (3.6) and (3.4), we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(r,\theta,\phi) r^2 \delta(r^2 - 2r(e_t,k)) \sin\theta \; dr \; d\theta \; d\phi =$$

$$= f(2|k|, e_k) + \overline{f(2|k|, -e_k)} \tag{3.7}$$

Now, let us use for q

$$\widetilde{q} = \frac{g(r,\theta,\phi)}{r}.$$

For g we have the following Fourier formulas

$$\widetilde{g}(\gamma,\theta,\phi) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty g(r,\theta,\phi) e^{ir\gamma} dr, \;\; g(r,\theta,\phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \widetilde{g}(\gamma,\theta,\phi) e^{ir\gamma} d\gamma.$$

Using q, let us represent (3.7) in the following way

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \widetilde{g}(\gamma,\theta,\phi) e^{ir\gamma} r \delta(r^2 - 2r(e_t,k)) \sin\theta \; dr \; d\theta \; d\phi \; d\gamma =$$

$$= f(2|k|, e_k) + \overline{f(2|k|, -e_k)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Using δ-function in the left side of the equality (3.8), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int\limits_{0}^{\pi}\int\limits_{0}^{2\pi}\widetilde{g}(\gamma,\theta,\phi)e^{-2i\gamma(e_t,k)}\sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi\ d\gamma =$$

$$= f(2|k|,e_k)+\overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)}. \tag{3.9}$$

Multiplying the equation (3.9) by $e^{i<k,s>}$ and integrating it in R^3 with respect to k, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int\limits_{0}^{\pi}\int\limits_{0}^{2\pi}\widetilde{g}(\gamma,\theta,\phi)e^{i(s-2\gamma e_t,k)}\sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi\ d\gamma\ dk =$$

$$= \int\limits_{R^3}\left(f(2|k|,e_k)+\overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)}\right)e^{i(k,s)}dk. \tag{3.10}$$

According to the definition of δ-function we get

$$\int\limits_{R^3} e^{i(s-2\gamma e_t,k)}dk = (2\pi)^3\delta(s-2\gamma e_t).$$

Then, from equation (3.10), we obtain

$$\frac{(2\pi)^3}{4\pi}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int\limits_{0}^{\pi}\int\limits_{0}^{2\pi}\widetilde{g}(\gamma,\theta,\phi)\delta(s-2\gamma e_t)\sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi\ d\gamma =$$

$$= \int\limits_{R^3}\left(f(2|k|,e_k)+\overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)}\right)e^{i(k,s)}dk.$$

In the left side of the equation the integral of γ is substituted for the two integrals

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \int\limits_{0}^{\infty} + \int\limits_{-\infty}^{0},$$

that is,

$$\frac{(2\pi)^3}{4\pi}\left(\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}\int\limits_{0}^{\pi}\int\limits_{0}^{2\pi}\widetilde{g}(\gamma,\theta,\phi)\delta(s-2\gamma e_t)\sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi\ d\gamma +\right.$$

$$+\int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{0}^{\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\widetilde{g}(\gamma,\theta,\phi)\delta(s-2\gamma e_t)\sin\theta\; d\theta\; d\phi\; d\gamma\Bigg) =$$

$$=\int_{R^3}\left(f(2|k|,e_k)+\overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)}\right)e^{i(k,s)}dk.$$

Substituting the variable γ' for $-\gamma$, in the second integral of left side of the equation, we obtain

$$\frac{(2\pi)^3}{4\pi}\Bigg(\int_{0}^{\infty}\int_{0}^{\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\widetilde{g}(\gamma,\theta,\phi)\delta(s-2\gamma e_t)\sin\theta\; d\theta\; d\phi\; d\gamma +$$

$$+\int_{-\infty}^{0}\int_{0}^{\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\widetilde{g}(-\gamma',\theta,\phi)\delta(s+2\gamma' e_t)\sin\theta\; d\theta\; d\phi\; d\gamma\Bigg) =$$

$$=\int_{R^3}\left(f(2|k|,e_k)+\overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)}\right)e^{i(k,s)}dk.$$

Using δ-function in the integrals of the left side of the equation, we get

$$\frac{(2\pi)^3}{4\pi}\left(\frac{\widetilde{g}(\frac{1}{2}|s|,\xi,\eta)}{|s|^2}+\frac{\widetilde{g}(\frac{1}{2}|s|,\xi,\eta)}{|s|^2}\right)=\int_{R^3}\left(f(2|k|,e_k)+\overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)}\right)e^{i(k,s)}dk.$$

Let $\gamma=\frac{|s|}{2}$, e_s be the direction of s-vector, then let us represent the last equation in the following way

$$(2\pi)^2\widetilde{g}(\nu,\xi,\eta)=4\nu^2\int_{R^3}\left(f(2|k|,e_k)+\overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)}\right)e^{i(k,s)}dk.$$

Multipling this equation by $e^{-i\nu r}$ and integrating it with respect to ν, we obtain

$$(2\pi)^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\widetilde{g}(\nu,\xi,\eta)e^{-i\nu r}d\nu =$$

$$=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\nu^2e^{-i\nu r}\int_{R^3}e^{i\nu(k,e_s)}\left(f(2|k|,e_k)+\overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)}\right)dkd\nu.$$

From the definition of Fourier transformation, we get

$$(2\pi)^3 g(r,\xi,\eta) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \nu^2 e^{-i\nu r} \int\limits_{R^3} e^{i\nu(k,e_s)} \left(f(2|k|,e_k) + \overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)} \right) dk d\nu.$$

From the last equation, we obtain the following equation

$$(2\pi)^3 g(r,\xi,\eta) = -\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} e^{-i\nu r} \int\limits_{R^3} e^{i\nu(k,e_s)} \left(f(2|k|,e_k) + \overline{f(2|k|,-e_k)} \right) dk d\nu.$$

From the definition of δ-function, we get

$$(2\pi)^2 g(r,\xi,\eta) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \int\limits_{R^3} \delta((k,e_s)-r)(f(2z,e_k) + \overline{f(2z,-e_k)}) dk.$$

Using spherical coordinates in the right side of the equation, we get

$$(2\pi)^2 g(r,\xi,\eta) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \int\limits_0^{\infty} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \delta((k,e_s)-r)(f(2z,e_k) +$$

$$+\overline{f(2z,-e_k)}) z^2 sin\theta dz d\theta d\phi,$$

where $z = |k|$.

Taking into consideration δ-function in the right side of the equation, we get

$$(2\pi)^2 g(r,\xi,\eta) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left(f\left(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)}, e_k \right) + \right.$$

$$\left. + f\left(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)}, -e_k \right) \right) \frac{r^2}{(e_k,e_s)^2} \sin\theta \; d\theta \; d\phi.$$

Now, let us return to the previous symbols

$$g(r,\xi,\eta) = r\widetilde{q}(r,\xi,\eta).$$

This completes the proof Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2 *Fourier transformation of the function q satisfies the following estimate*

$$|\widetilde{q}|_{L_1} \le \frac{1}{4} \left| z \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{mv}}{\partial z^2} \right|_{L_1} + 2 \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{mv}}{\partial z^2} \right|_{L_1} + \left| \frac{\widetilde{q}_{mv}}{z} \right|_{L_1}, \qquad (3.11)$$

Proof. Let us use equation(3.2)

$$(2\pi)^2\widetilde{q}(r,\xi,\eta) = -\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}\left(F\left(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)},e_k\right)\frac{r^2}{(e_k,e_s)^2}\right)\sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi,$$

where

$$F\left(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)},e_k\right) = \widetilde{q}_{mv}\left(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)},e_k\right) + \widetilde{q}^*_{mv}\left(\frac{2r}{(e_k,e_s)},-e_k\right).$$

In this equation, using the following changes of the variables

$$(e_k,e_s) = A, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{A}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, t = \frac{r}{A}, \qquad dr = Adt, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} = \frac{1}{A^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t^2},$$

obtain

$$(2\pi)^2\widetilde{q}(r,\xi,\eta) = -\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\frac{1}{A^3 t}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\left(F(2t,e_k)t^2\right)\sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi,$$

Integrating this equation with respect to r,ξ,η, we get

$$(2\pi)^2\int\limits_0^{\infty}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\widetilde{q}r^2\sin\xi\ d\xi\ d\eta\ dr =$$

$$= \int\limits_0^{\infty}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\left(F(2t,e_k)t^2\right)\sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi\ dt\ \ \sin\xi\ d\xi\ d\eta.$$

Denoting the integral in the right side of the equation by I, we get

$$I = \int\limits_0^{\infty}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left(t^3\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t^2} + 4t^2\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + 2tF\right)\sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi\ dt\ \ \sin\xi\ d\xi\ d\eta.$$

Integrating it with respect to ξ, η, we obtain

$$I = 4\pi^2\int\limits_0^{\infty}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left(t^3\frac{\partial^2 F(2t,\theta,\phi)}{\partial t^2} + 4t^2\frac{\partial F(2t,\theta,\phi)}{\partial t} + 2tF\right)\sin\theta\ d\theta\ d\phi\ dt.$$

The following equation folows from the stated above.

$$\int\limits_0^{\infty}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\widetilde{q}r^2\sin\xi\ d\xi\ d\eta\ dr =$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \left(t^3 \frac{\partial^2 F(2t,\theta,\phi)}{\partial t^2} + 4t^2 \frac{\partial F(2t,\theta,\phi)}{\partial t} + 2tF \right) \sin\theta \; d\theta \; d\phi \; dt.$$

If we substitute the variable z for $2t$ in the integral by t, since $z = 2t$, and use the following equation $\widetilde{q}_{mv}(z) = \widetilde{q}^{*}_{mv}(-z)$, we obtain the statement of the theorem
This proves Theorem 3.2.

4 Correlation of Amplitude and Wave Functions

We take the relationship for ϕ_+ 4̈01 ϕ_- from (1.6)

$$\phi_+(k,x) = \phi_-(k,x) - 2\pi i \int\limits_{R^3} \delta(|k|^2 - |\lambda|^2) A(k,\lambda)\phi_-(\lambda,x)d\lambda. \tag{4.1}$$

Let us denote new functions and operators we will use further

$$\phi_0(\sqrt{z}e_k, x) = e^{i(\sqrt{z}e_k,x)},\ \Phi_0(\sqrt{z}e_k,x) = \phi_0(\sqrt{z}e_k,x) + \phi_0(-\sqrt{z}e_k,x),$$

$$\Phi_+(\sqrt{z}e_k,x) = \phi_+(\sqrt{z}e_k,x) - e^{i(\sqrt{z}e_k,x)} + \phi_+(-\sqrt{z}e_k,x) - e^{-i(\sqrt{z}e_k,x)}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\Phi_-(\sqrt{z}e_k,x) = \phi_-(\sqrt{z}e_k,x) - e^{i(\sqrt{z}e_k,x)} + \phi_-(-\sqrt{z}e_k,x) - e^{-i(\sqrt{z}e_k,x)}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$D_1 f = -2\pi i \int\limits_{R^3} A(k,\lambda)\delta(z-l)f(\lambda,x)d\lambda,$$

$$D_2 f = -2\pi i \int\limits_{R^3} A(-k,\lambda)\delta(z-l)f(\lambda,x)d\lambda,$$

$$D_3 f = D_1 f + D_2 f, \tag{4.4}$$

where $z = |k|^2,\ l = |\lambda|^2,\ \pm k = \pm\sqrt{z}e_k$. Let us introduce the operators $T_\pm$, T for the function $f \in W_2^1(R)$ by the formulas

$$T_+ f = \frac{1}{\pi i}\lim_{Imz\to 0}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{f(\sqrt{s})}{s-z}ds, \quad Imz > 0, \tag{4.5}$$

$$T_- f = \frac{1}{\pi i}\lim_{Imz\to 0}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{f(\sqrt{s})}{s-z}ds, \quad Imz < 0, \tag{4.6}$$

$$Tf = \frac{1}{2}(T_+ + T_-)f. \tag{4.7}$$

Use (4.1) and the symbols $e_r = \frac{k}{|k|}$ to come to Riemann' problem of finding a function Φ_+, which is analytic by the variable z in the top half plane, and the function Φ_-, which is analytical on the variable z in the bottom half plane by the specified jump of discontinuity f onto the positive semi axis.

For the jump the discontinuity of an analytical function, we have the following equations

$$f = \Phi_+ - \Phi_-, \tag{4.8}$$

$$f = D_3[\Phi_-] - D_3[\phi_-], \tag{4.9}$$

where $\phi_- = \phi_-(-\lambda,x)$.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$*; then the functions* $\Psi_1 = \Phi_{\pm}(\sqrt{z}e_k, x)|_{x=0} - \Phi_0(\sqrt{z}e_k, x)|_{x=0}$, $\Psi_2 = T_{\pm}f|_{x=0}$ *are coincided according to the class of analytical functions, coincide with bounded derivatives all over the complex plane with a slit along the positive semi axis.*

Proof. Using the condition of unitarity of the S-operator and the condition of the theorem, we obtain $|\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}|_{x=0,z=0}| < \infty$, where function f is obtained by the formula (4.8). Now, we can consider Riemann's problem of a smooth function f along the whole line taking into account that function is equal to zero. The statement above, according to [16], p.45, allows to define the solution of Riemann's problem with the bounded derivative up to the boundary. Whence we obtain that the function Ψ_1 is equal to the function Ψ_2 in the class of analytical functions with bounded derivatives all over the complex plane and with a slit along the positive semi axis.
This proves Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.1 *There exists* $0 < |\epsilon| < \infty$ *such that it satisfies the following condition* $\phi_{+}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$ *holds for the potential of the form* $v = \epsilon q$*, where* $q \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. Let us consider the equation $\phi_{+}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$. Let us use the integral representation for the wave function $\phi_{+}(\sqrt{z}e_k, x)$ with the potential $v = \epsilon q$ from the theorem of Lippman - Schwinger:

$$\phi_{+}(k, x) = e^{i(k,x)} + \frac{\epsilon}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} q(y) \phi_{+}(k, y) dy.$$

Using this representation, we obtain for $\phi_{+}(\sqrt{z}e_k, x)$

$$\phi_{+}(k, x) = e^{i(k,x)} + \frac{\epsilon}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} q(y) e^{i(k,y)} dy +$$

$$+ \frac{\epsilon^2}{(4\pi)^2} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} q(y) \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|y-t|}}{|y-t|} q(t) e^{i(k,t)} dt dy +$$

$$+ \frac{\epsilon^3}{(4\pi)^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} q(y) \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|y-t|}}{|y-t|} q(t) \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|t-s|}}{|t-s|} q(s) \phi_{+}(k, s) ds dt dy.$$

Suppose that $q \in \mathbf{R}$; then we get the last equation in the following form $1 + \epsilon * \beta + \epsilon^2 * g(\epsilon) = 0$, where $g(\epsilon)$ is an equibounded function. Solving the last equation with respect to ϵ, we obtain the bounded solution of this

equation.
The solvability follows from the fact that a discriminant of a quadric equation is real and it allows to build a bounded sequence. From this sequence with regards to the lemma of compactness, it is possible to define a converging sequence. The discriminant is positive of the sum $q+w$, where w is a selected bounded smooth function. Further, for our convenience, q stands for $q+w$. It is necessary to note here that for small q we can take ϵ which is small enough. The last statement follows from the smallness of q and the selection of w. Here w is selected for the whole class of function q.
This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Now, we can formulate Riemann's problem. Find the analytic function $\Phi_\pm$ that satisfies (4.8), (4.9) and its solution is set by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_\pm|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$, *then*

$$\Phi_\pm = T_\pm f + \Phi_0, \tag{4.10}$$

$$f = D_3[f[T_- f + \Phi_0]] - D_3\phi_-, \tag{4.11}$$

where $\phi_- = \phi_-(-\lambda, x)$.

The Proof follows from the classical results of the theory of Riemann's boundary problems and the condition $f(k,0)|_{z=0} = 0$ following from the statement of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_\pm|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$; *then*

$$\Delta_x T_\pm[f]|_{x=0} = T_\pm \Delta_x[f]|_{x=0}.$$

The Proof follows from the definitions (4.5), (4.6) of the operators $T_\pm$.

Theorem 4.3 *Suppose that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_\pm|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$ *4̆01* $q(0) \neq 0$, *then*

$$q(0)f|_{x=0} = D_3 T_-[qf|_{x=0} - D_3[q\phi_-]|_{x=0} + D_3 \int\limits_0^\infty f ds|_{x=0}. \tag{4.12}$$

The Proof follows from the statements of Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.2.

5 Auxiliary Propositions

For wave functions let us use integral representations following from Lippman-Schwinger's theorem

$$\phi_{\pm}(k,x) = e^{i(k,x)} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} q(y)\phi_{\pm}(k,y)dy, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\phi_{\pm}(-k,x) = e^{-i(k,x)} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\mp i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} q(y)\phi_{\pm}(-k,y)dy. \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$*; then*

$$A(k,k') = c_0\widetilde{q}(k-k') +$$

$$+\frac{c_0}{4\pi}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3} e^{-i(k',x)}q(x)\frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}q(y)e^{i(k,y)}dydx + A_3(k,k'), \tag{5.3}$$

$$A(-k,k') = c_0\widetilde{q}(-k-k') +$$

$$+\frac{c_0}{4\pi}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3} e^{-i(k',x)}q(x)\frac{e^{-i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}q(y)e^{-i(k,y)}dydx + A_3(-k,k'), \tag{5.4}$$

where $c_0 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}$*, and* $A_3(k,k')$*,* $A_3(-k,k')$ *are terms of order higher than 2 with regards to q.*

Proof. Into representation (1.5) for the amplitude $A(k,k')$we insert representation (5.1) for $\phi_+(k,x)$ isolating terms of order 1 and order 2 with regards to q. Then we get (5.3).

Into formula (1.5) for the amplitude $A(-k,k')$ we insert representation (5.2) for $\phi_+(-k,x)$, isolating terms of order 1 and order 2 with regards to q, and get (5.4).
This proves Lemma 5.1.

Theorem 5.1 ***(Parseval).*** *The functions* $f,\ g \in L_2(R^3)$ *satisfy the equation*

$$(f,g) = c_0(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g}^*),$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)$ *is a scalar product and* $c_0 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}$.

The Proof is in work [12].

Lemma 5.2 *Suppose that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$, *then*

$$A(k,k') = c_0\widetilde{q}(k-k') - c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p-k')}{|p|^2 - z - i0} dp + A_3(k,k'), \tag{5.5}$$

$$A(-k,k') = c_0\widetilde{q}(-k-k') - c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-k+p)\widetilde{q}(p-k')}{|p|^2 - z - i0} dp + A_3(-k,k'). \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Let us consider the integral in representation (5.3)

$$J = \frac{c_0}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} e^{-i(k',x)} q(x) \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} q(y) e^{i(k,y)} dy dx.$$

Let us use the following denotation

$$J' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} q(y) e^{i(k,y)} dy, J = c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} e^{-i(k',x)} q(x) J' dx.$$

$$f = e^{i(k,y)} q(y), \quad g = \frac{2}{4\pi} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}, J' = (f,g).$$

Taking into consideration the statement of theorem 5.1 to J', we obtain

$$J' = c_0(\widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g}), \ \widetilde{f} = \int\limits_{R^3} e^{i(k,y)} q(y) e^{i(p,y)} dy, \ \ \widetilde{g} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} e^{i(p,y)} dy.$$

By the definition of Fourier transformation (1.7), we have $\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{q}(k+p)$.For $\widetilde{g}$, we have the following equations holds

$$\widetilde{g} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} e^{i(p,y)} e^{\pm i(p,x)} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|} e^{i(p,y-x)} d(y-x) e^{i(p,x)}.$$

Using the definition of Fourier transformation for Green's function of Schrodinger's operator ([13]), we get

$$\widetilde{g} = -\frac{e^{i(p,x)}}{|p|^2 - z - i0}.$$

We insert $\widetilde{f}$, $\widetilde{g}$ in J':

$$J' = -c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p) e^{i(p,x)}}{|p|^2 - z - io} dp.$$

Insert J' into J:

$$J = -c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} e^{-i(k',x)} q(x) \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p) e^{i(p,x)}}{|p|^2 - z - io} dp dx.$$

Using Fubini theorem of integrals' transposition and the definition of Fourier transformation in J (1.7), we get

$$J = c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p-k')}{|p|^2 - z - io} dp$$

Inserting J into representation (5.3), we get (5.5)
Formula (5.6) is proved in a similar way.
This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2.

Corollary 5.1 *Suppose that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_\pm|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$, *then*

$$A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) = c_0 \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) - c_0^2 \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2*\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p-k')}{|p|^2 - z - i0} dp de_{k'} + A_{3\mathrm{mv}}(k), \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$A_{3\mathrm{mv}}(k) = \int\limits_{R^3} A_3(k,k') \delta(z - |k'|^2) dk'.$$

And

$$A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k) = c_0 \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k) -$$

$$- c_0^2 \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2*\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-k+p)\widetilde{q}(p-k')}{|p|^2 - z - i0} dp de_{k'} + A_{3\mathrm{mv}}(-k), \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$A_{3\mathrm{mv}}(-k) = \int\limits_{R^3} A_3(-k,k') \delta(z - |k'|^2) dk'.$$

Proof. Averaging representations (5.5), (5.6), with regards to formulas (1.7) we obtain the statement of the corollary.
This proves Corollary 5.1.

Lemma 5.3 *Suppose that* $q \in R$ *and* $x = 0$, *then*

$$\phi_\pm(k,0) = 1 + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|} q(y) e^{i(k,y)} dy +$$

$$+\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|}q(y)\frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y-t|}}{|y-t|}q(t)e^{i(k,t)}dtdy+\phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(k,0), \qquad (5.9)$$

where $\phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(k,0)$ *are terms of order higher than 2 with regards to q., i.e.,*

$$\phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(k,x)=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}q(y)\times$$

$$\times\frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y-t|}}{|y-t|}q(t)\frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|t-s|}}{|t-s|}q(s)\phi_{\pm}(k,s)dsdtdy.$$

And

$$\phi_{\pm}(-k,0)=1+\frac{1}{4\pi}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{\mp i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|}q(y)e^{-i(k,y)}dy+$$

$$+\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{\mp i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|}q(y)\frac{e^{\mp i\sqrt{z}|y-t|}}{|y-t|}q(t)e^{-i(k,t)}dtdy+\phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(-k,0), \qquad (5.10)$$

where $\phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(-k,0)$ *are terms of order higher than 2 with regards to q., i.e.,*

$$\phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(-k,x)=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{\mp i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}q(y)\times$$

$$\times\frac{e^{\mp i\sqrt{z}|y-t|}}{|y-t|}q(t)\frac{e^{\mp i\sqrt{z}|t-s|}}{|t-s|}q(s)\phi_{\pm}(-k,s)dsdtdy.$$

Proof. Let us consider integral representations (5.1)

$$\phi_{\pm}(k,x)=e^{i(k,x)}+\frac{1}{4\pi}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}q(y)\phi_{\pm}(k,y)dy.$$

Using them we obtain

$$\phi_{\pm}(k,x)=e^{i(k,x)}+\frac{1}{4\pi}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}q(y)e^{i(k,y)}dy+$$

$$+\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|x-y|}}{|x-y|}q(y)\frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y-t|}}{|y-t|}q(t)e^{i(k,t)}dtdy+\phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(k,x).$$

As $x=0$, we get (5.9).
Representation (5.10) is proved similarly.
This proves Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 5.4 *Suppose that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$, *then*

$$\phi_{\pm}(k,0) = 1 - c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)}{|p|^2 - z \mp i0} dp +$$

$$+ c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)}{(|p|^2 - z \mp i0)(|p_1|^2 - z \mp i0)} dp_1 dp + \phi^{(}_{\pm}3)(k,0), \qquad (5.11)$$

$$\phi_{\pm}(-k,0) = 1 - c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-k+p)}{|p|^2 - z \mp i0} dp +$$

$$+ c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)}{(|p|^2 - z \mp i0)(|p_1|^2 - z \mp i0)} dp_1 dp + \phi^{(}_{\pm}3)(-k,0). \qquad (5.12)$$

Proof. In representation (5.9) we introduce the following denotation

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|} q(y) e^{i(k,y)} dy,$$

$$J_2 = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|} q(y) \frac{e^{\pm\sqrt{z}|y-t|}}{|y-t|} q(t) e^{i(k,t)} dt dy,$$

Therefore this representation takes the following form

$$\phi_{\pm}(k,0) = 1 + J_1 + J_2 + \phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(k,0).$$

In J_1, J_2 let us use the method we used in a lemma 5.2.

Consider J_1 separately and introduce the following denotation

$$f = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|}, \quad g = q(y) e^{i(k,y)}.$$

Then $J_1 = (f,g)$. From the statement of theorem 5.1, it follows that

$$J_1 = c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \widetilde{f}(p) \widetilde{g}(p) dp,$$

$$\widetilde{f}(p) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|} e^{i(p,y)} dy, \ \widetilde{g}(p) = \int\limits_{R^3} q(y) e^{i(k+p,y)} dy = \widetilde{q}(k+p).$$

Using the definition of Fourier transformation for Green's function of Schrodinger's operator, we get

$$\widetilde{f}(p) = -\frac{1}{|p|^2 - z \mp i0}.$$

Insert $\widetilde{f}(p)$, $\widetilde{g}(p)$ into J_1, we get

$$J_1 = -c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{g}(k+p)}{|p|^2 - z \mp i0} dp.$$

Let us consider J_2. The integral w.r.t. the variable t we denote by J_2':

$$J_2' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y-t|}}{|y-t|} q(t) e^{i(k,t)} dt,$$

then

$$J_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|} q(y) J_2' dy.$$

Applying the same method in J_2', we get

$$J_2' = -c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{i(p,y)} \widetilde{q}(k+p)}{|p|^2 - z \mp i0} dp.$$

Let us insert J_2' into J_2

$$J_2 = -\frac{c_0}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|} q(y) e^{i(p,y)} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)}{|p|^2 - z \mp i0} dp dy.$$

Applying the Fubini theorem of integrals' transposition, we get

$$J_2 = -\frac{c_0}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|} q(y) e^{i(p,y)} dy \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)}{|p|^2 - z \mp i0} dp.$$

In J_2 the integral w.r.t. the variable y we denote by J:

$$J = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{e^{\pm i\sqrt{z}|y|}}{|y|} q(y) e^{i(p,y)} dy.$$

Then

$$J_2 = -c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} J \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)}{|p|^2 - z \mp i0} dp.$$

Let us note that J is transformed in the same way as J_1. That is,

$$J = -c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)}{|p_1|^2 - z \mp i0} dp_1.$$

Let us insert J in J_2, then we get

$$J_2 = c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)}{(|p|^2 - z \mp i0)(|p_1|^2 - z \mp i0)} dp_1 dp.$$

Insert J_1 and J_2 into representation(5.9).
Formula (5.11) is proved.

To conclude the proof it remains to note that using similar methods in (5.10), we get the formula (5.12.)
This proves Lemma 5.4.

Lemma 5.5 *Suppose that* $q \in R\ \breve{4}01\ x = 0$*; then*

$$F(k,0) = -\pi i c_0 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z} e_p) de_p +$$

$$+\pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z} e_p)\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z} e_p - p_1)}{|p_1|^2 - z} dp_1 de_p +$$

$$+\pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k-p)\widetilde{q}(-p - \sqrt{z} e_{p_1})}{|p|^2 - z} de_{p_1} dp +$$

$$+ \phi_+^{(3)}(k,0) - \phi_-^{(3)}(k,0). \tag{5.13}$$

And

$$F(-k,0) = -\pi i c_0 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z} e_p) de_p +$$

$$+\pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z} e_p)\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z} e_p - p_1)}{|p_1|^2 - z} dp_1 de_p +$$

$$+\pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-k-p)\widetilde{q}(-p - \sqrt{z} e_{p_1})}{|p|^2 - z} de_{p_1} dp +$$

$$+ \phi_+^{(3)}(-k,0) - \phi_-^{(3)}(-k,0). \tag{5.14}$$

Proof. Let us introduce a denotation

$$F(k,0)=\phi_+(k,0)-\phi_-(k,0).$$

Insert representation (5.11) into F

$$F(k,0)=-c_0\int\limits_{R^3}\left(\frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)}{|p|^2-z-i0}-\frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)}{|p|^2-z+i0}\right)dp+$$

$$+c_0^2\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\left(\frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)}{(|p|^2-z-i0)(|p_1|^2-z-i0)}-\frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)}{(|p|^2-z+i0)(|p_1|^2-z+i0)}\right)dp_1dp+$$

$$+\phi_+^{(3)}(k,0)-\phi_-^{(3)}(k,0).$$

Let us introduce folowing denotation

$$J_1=-c_0\int\limits_{R^3}\left(\frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)}{|p|^2-z-i0}-\frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)}{|p|^2-z+i0}\right)dp,$$

$$J_2=c_0^2\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\left(\frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)}{(|p|^2-z-i0)(|p_1|^2-z-i0)}-\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)}{(|p|^2-z+i0)(|p_1|^2-z+i0)}\right)dp_1dp.$$

Then

$$F(k,0)=J_1+J_2+\phi_+^{(3)}(k,0)-\phi_-^{(3)}(k,0).$$

Let us consider J_1. According to Sokhotsky' formulas ([13]) and the definition of δ-function, we have the following chain of equations

$$J_1=-c_0\int\limits_{R^3}\delta(|p|^2-z)\widetilde{q}(k+p)dp=-\pi ic_0\sqrt{z}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\widetilde{q}(k+\sqrt{z}e_p)de_p.$$

Let us consider the difference under the integral J_2

$$J_2'=\frac{1}{(|p|^2-z-i0)(|p_1|^2-z-i0)}-\frac{1}{(|p|^2-z+i0)(|p_1|^2-z+i0)}.$$

Then

$$J_2=c_0^2\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)J_2'dp_1dp.$$

In J_2' we use Sokhotsky's formulas

$$J_2' = \left(\pi i\delta(|p|^2 - z) + V.p.\frac{1}{|p|^2 - z}\right)\left(\pi i\delta(|p_1|^2 - z) + V.p.\frac{1}{|p_1|^2 - z}\right) -$$

$$\left(-\pi i\delta(|p|^2 - z) + V.p.\frac{1}{|p|^2 - z}\right)\left(-\pi i\delta(|p_1|^2 - z) + V.p.\frac{1}{|p_1|^2 - z}\right).$$

Opening the brackets and reducing similar terms, we get:

$$J_2' = 2\pi i V.p.\frac{\delta(|p|^2 - z)}{|p_1|^2 - z} + 2\pi i V.p.\frac{\delta(|p_1|^2 - z)}{|p|^2 - z}.$$

Let us insert J_2' in J_2

$$J_2 = 2\pi i c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)\delta(|p|^2 - z)}{|p_1|^2 - z} dp_1 dp +$$

$$+ 2\pi i c_0^2 V.p. \int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+p_1)\delta(|p_1|^2 - z)}{|p|^2 - z} dp_1 dp.$$

Let us take into consideration the definition of δ-function in J_2

$$J_2 = \pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+\sqrt{z}e_p)\widetilde{q}(\sqrt{z}e_p + p_1)}{|p_1|^2 - z} dp_1 de_p +$$

$$+ \pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+\sqrt{z}e_{p_1})}{|p|^2 - z} de_{p_1} dp.$$

Let us insert J_1, J_2 in $F(k,0)$, then obtain

$$F(k,0) = -\pi i c_0 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(k+\sqrt{z}e_p) de_p +$$

$$+ \pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+\sqrt{z}e_p)\widetilde{q}(\sqrt{z}e_p + p_1)}{|p_1|^2 - z} dp_1 de_p +$$

$$+ \pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k+p)\widetilde{q}(p+\sqrt{z}e_{p_1})}{|p|^2 - z} de_{p_1} dp + \phi_+^{(3)}(k,0) - \phi_-^{(3)}(k,0).$$

In the integrals in the right side of this equation we substitute the variables $-e_p$ and $-e_{p_1}$ for the variables e_p and e_{p_1} correspondingly since $e_p = -e_p$, $e_{p_1} = -e_{p_1}$, then we get the formula (5.13).

Let us consider (5.13) as $k = -k$, then we obtain the formula (5.14.) This completes the proof of Lemma 5.5.

6 Two Representations of Scattering Amplitude

Lemma 6.1 *Suppose that $f \in W_2^1(R)$, then*

$$T_{\pm} f = \mp f + Tf. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. The proof follows from definitions (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) of the operators $T_{\pm}$ and T.
This proves Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.2 *Suppose that $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$, then*

$$f(k,0) = F(k,0) + F(-k,0). \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. By definition (4.8), it follows that

$$f(k,0) = \Phi_+(k,0) - \Phi_-(k,0).$$

Whence we obtain the following equation

$$f(k,0) = \Phi_+(k,0) - \Phi_-(k,0) = \phi_+(k,0) + \phi_-(-k,0) - \phi_-(k,0) - \phi_-(-k,0).$$

In the proof of Lemma 5.5 we introduced the following denotation

$$F(k,0) = \phi_+(k,0) - \phi_-(k,0).$$

Let use this denotation in this equation

$$f(k,0) = F(k,0) + F(-k,0).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 6.3 *Suppose that $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$, then*

$$A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k) = c_0(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k)) +$$

$$+\pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) de_{\lambda} +$$

$$+\pi i c_0^2 \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) de_{\lambda} -$$

$$-\pi i c_0^2\sqrt{z}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}(\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\times$$

$$\times(T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))de_\lambda-$$

$$-c_0^2\sqrt{z}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}(\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda-p)}{|p|^2-z}dpde_\lambda+$$

$$+c_0^2\frac{\sqrt{z}}{2}V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\frac{(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\widetilde{q}(-\lambda-\sqrt{z}e_p)}{l-z}de_pd\lambda-$$

$$-2\pi i(F^{(3)}(k,0)+F^{(3)}(-k,0)+Q_3(k,0)+Q^{(3)}(k,0)), \tag{6.3}$$

where $Q_3(k,0)$, $Q^{(3)}(k,0)$ *are defined by formulas (6.7), (6.9) correspondingly,*

$$F^{(3)}(k,0)=\phi_+^{(3)}(k,0)-\phi_-^{(3)}(k,0),\ F^{(3)}(-k,0)=\phi_+^{(3)}(-k,0)-\phi_-^{(3)}(-k,0),$$

and $\phi_\pm^{(3)}(\pm k,0)$ *are terms of order 3 and higher w.r.t.* $\widetilde{q}$ *in the representations (5.11), (5.12).*

Proof. Let us consider the representation (4.11) as $x=0$

$$f(k,0)=D_3[T_-f+\Phi_0]\Bigg|_{x=0}-D_3[\phi_-]\Bigg|_{x=0}.$$

From this equation we obtain $D_3[\Phi_0]\Bigg|_{x=0}$

$$D_3[\Phi_0]\Bigg|_{x=0}=f(k,0)-D_3[T_-f]\Bigg|_{x=0}+D_3[\phi_-]\Bigg|_{x=0}. \tag{6.4}$$

Consider the left side of the last equation

$$J_1=D_3[\Phi_0]\Bigg|_{x=0}$$

By the definition of the function Φ_0 given in section 4, we have

$$\Phi_0=\phi_0(k,0)+\phi_0(-k,0)=2.$$

By the definition of the operator D_3, it follows that

$$J_1 = D_3[\Phi_0]\Big|_{x=0} = -4\pi i \int\limits_{R^3} \delta(z-l)A(k,\lambda)d\lambda - 4\pi i \int\limits_{R^3} \delta(z-l)A(-k,\lambda)d\lambda.$$

By the definition of amplitude average (1.7) it follows that

$$J_1 = -4\pi i(A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k)). \tag{6.5}$$

Consider the first term in the right side of equation (6.4)

$$J_2 = f(k,0).$$

According to formula (6.2)

$$J_2 = F(k,0) + F(-k,0),$$

from (5.13), (5.14), it follows that

$$J_2 = -2\pi i c_0(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k)+\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k))+\pi i c_0^2\sqrt{z}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}(\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_p)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_p))\times$$

$$\times V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_p-p_1)}{|p_1|^2-z}dp_1 de_p+$$

$$+\pi i c_0^2\sqrt{z}V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\frac{(\widetilde{q}(k-p)+\widetilde{q}(-k-p))\widetilde{q}(-p-\sqrt{z}e_{p_1})}{|p|^2-z}de_{p_1}dp+$$

$$+F^{(3)}(k,0)+F^{(3)}(-k,0). \tag{6.6}$$

Consider the second term in the right side of equation (6.4)

$$J_3 = -D_3[T_- f]\Big|_{x=0}$$

By formula 6.1, we have

$$J_3 = D_3[f]\Big|_{x=0} - D_3[Tf]\Big|_{x=0}$$

Consider the formula (6.5), taking into account only terms of the first order w.r.t. $\widetilde{q}$, and denote rest of them by f_2,

$$f(\lambda,0)=-2\pi ic_0(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\lambda)+\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\lambda))+f_2(\lambda,0).$$

Let us insert this representation into J_3 and the definition of the operator D_3

$$J_3=-4\pi^2c_0\int\limits_{R^3}(A(k,\lambda)+A(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\lambda)+\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\lambda))d\lambda+$$

$$+2\pi i\int\limits_{R^3}(A(k,\lambda)+A(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)f_2(\lambda,0)d\lambda+$$

$$+4\pi^2c_0\int\limits_{R^3}(A(k,\lambda)+A(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)(T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](\lambda)+T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](-\lambda))d\lambda-$$

$$-2\pi i\int\limits_{R^3}(A(k,\lambda)+A(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)T[f_2](\lambda,0)d\lambda.$$

Let us insert representation (5.5) into J_3 and (5.6), taking into consideration only terms the first of order w.r.t. $\widetilde{q}$

$$A(k,\lambda)=c_0(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)+A_2(k,\lambda)),\ A(-k,\lambda)=c_0(\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda)+A_2(-k,\lambda)),$$

We obtain

$$J_3=-4\pi^2c_0^2\int\limits_{R^3}(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda)+A_2(k,\lambda)+A_2(-k,\lambda))\times$$

$$\times\delta(z-l)(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\lambda)+\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\lambda))d\lambda+$$

$$+2\pi ic_0\int\limits_{R^3}(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda)+A_2(k,\lambda)+A_2(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-\lambda)f_2(\lambda,0)d\lambda+$$

$$+4\pi^2c_0^2\int\limits_{R^3}(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda)+A_2(k,\lambda)+A_2(-k,\lambda))\times$$

$$\times\delta(z-l)(T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](\lambda)+T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](-\lambda))d\lambda-$$

$$-2\pi ic_0\int\limits_{R^3}(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda)+A_2(k,\lambda)+A_2(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-\lambda)T[f_2](\lambda,0)d\lambda.$$

Single out terms of the second order w.r.t $\widetilde{q}$ in J_3 and denote the rest of terms by $Q_3(k,0)$; then we obtain

$$J_3 = -4\pi^2 c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} (\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\delta(z-l)(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\lambda) + \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\lambda))d\lambda +$$

$$+4\pi^2 c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} (\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\delta(z-l)(T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](\lambda)+T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](-\lambda))d\lambda + Q_3(k,0),$$

where

$$Q_3(k,0) = -4\pi^2 c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} (A_2(k,\lambda) + A_2(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\lambda) + \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\lambda))d\lambda +$$

$$+2\pi i c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} (A_2(k,\lambda) + A_2(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l) f_2(\lambda,0)d\lambda +$$

$$+4\pi^2 c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} (A_2(k,\lambda) + A_2(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)(T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](\lambda) + T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](-\lambda))d\lambda -$$

$$-\, 2\pi i c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} (A_2(k,\lambda) + A_2(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l) T[f_2](\lambda,0)d\lambda. \tag{6.7}$$

Let apply δ-function to the integrals of J_3

$$J_3 = -2\pi^2 c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^p i \int\limits_0^{2*pi} (\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\times$$

$$\times(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))de_\lambda +$$

$$+2\pi^2 c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^p i \int\limits_0^{2*pi} (\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\times$$

$$\times\, (T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))de_\lambda + Q_3(k,0). \tag{6.8}$$

Consider the third term in the right side of equation (6.4)

$$J_4 = D_3[\phi_-]\Bigg|_{x=0},$$

where $\phi_- = \phi_-(-\lambda, x)$.

Let us take into consideration definition (4.4) of the operator D_3

$$J_4 = -2\pi i \int\limits_{R^3} (A(k,\lambda) + A(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)\phi_-(-\lambda,0)d\lambda.$$

Let us insert representation (5.12) into J_4 considering only the term s of the first rder w.r.t. $\widetilde{q}$, and denote rest of them by $\phi_-(2)(-\lambda,0)$

$$J_4 = -2\pi i \int\limits_{R^3} (A(k,\lambda) + A(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)\times$$

$$\times\left(1 - c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\lambda-p)}{|p|^2 - l + i0}dp + \phi_-^{(2)}(-\lambda,0)\right)d\lambda.$$

By the definition of amplitude average (1.7), it follows that

$$J_4 = -2\pi i(A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k)) +$$

$$+2\pi i c_0 \int\limits_{R^3} (A(k,\lambda) + A(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)\left(\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\lambda-p)}{|p|^2 - l + i0}dp + \phi_-^{(2)}(-\lambda,0)\right)d\lambda.$$

Let us insert the following representations into the second term of J_4

$$A(k,\lambda) = c_0(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda) + A_2(k,\lambda)),\ A(-k,\lambda) = c_0(\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda) + A_2(-k,\lambda)).$$

We obtain

$$J_4 = -2\pi i(A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k)) + 2\pi i c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} (\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\delta(x-l)\times$$

$$\times\left(\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\lambda-p)}{|p|^2 - l + i0}dp + \phi_-^{(2)}(-\lambda,0)\right)d\lambda+$$

$$+2\pi i c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} (A_2(k,\lambda) + A_2(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)\left(\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\lambda-p)}{|p|^2 - l + i0}dp + \phi_-^{(2)}(-\lambda,0)\right)d\lambda.$$

Let us single out terms terms of second order w.r.t. $\widetilde{q}$ and denote rest of them by $Q^{(3)}(k,0)$

$$J_4 = -2\pi i(A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k)) + 2\pi i c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} (\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\delta(z-l)\times$$

$$\times \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\lambda - p)}{|p|^2 - l + i0} dp d\lambda + Q^{(3)}(k,0),$$

where

$$Q^{(3)}(k,0) = 2\pi i c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} (\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\delta(z-l)\phi_-^{(2)}(-\lambda,0)d\lambda +$$

$$+2\pi i c_0^2 \int\limits_{R^3} (A_2(k,\lambda) + A_2(-k,\lambda))\delta(z-l)\times$$

$$\times \left(\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\lambda - p)}{|p|^2 - l + i0} dp + \phi_-^{(2)}(-\lambda,0) \right) d\lambda. \tag{6.9}$$

Further, let us apply δ-function to the integral over λ of J_4

$$J_4 = -2\pi i (A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k)) + \pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\times$$

$$\times \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - p)}{|p|^2 - z + i0} dp de_\lambda + Q^{(3)}(k,0).$$

By Sokhotsky' formula it follows that

$$\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - p)}{|p|^2 - z + i0} dp =$$

$$= -\pi i \int\limits_{R^3} \widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - p)\delta(|p|^2 - z)dp + V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - p)}{|p|^2 - z} dp.$$

Let us insert the last formula into the integral of J_4

$$J_4 = -2\pi i (A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k)) + \pi^2 c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\times$$

$$\times \int\limits_{R^3} \widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - p)\delta(|p|^2 - z) dp de_\lambda + \pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\times$$

$$\times V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - p)}{|p|^2 - z} dp de_\lambda + Q^{(3)}(k,0).$$

The application of δ-function in the corresponding integral of J_4 yields

$$J_4 = -2\pi i(A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k))+$$

$$+\pi^2 c_0^2 \frac{z}{2} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)) \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p)de_p de_\lambda+$$

$$+\pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\times$$

$$\times V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - p)}{|p|^2 - z} dp de_\lambda + Q^{(3)}(k,0).$$

Let us use the definition of average potential (1.7) for the second term of J_4, then we get

$$J_4 = -2\pi i(A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k))+$$

$$+\pi^2 c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)de_\lambda+$$

$$+\pi i c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\times$$

$$\times V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - p)}{|p|^2 - z} dp de_\lambda + Q^{(3)}(k,0). \tag{6.10}$$

Let us insert J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4 defined by formulas (6.5), (6.6), (6.8), (6.10) into representation (6.4). Then, picking similar terms, we obtain

$$-2\pi i(A_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + A_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k)) = -2\pi i c_0(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k))-$$

$$-2\pi^2 c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)de_\lambda-$$

$$-\pi^2 c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)de_\lambda+$$

$$+2\pi^2 c_0^2 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\times$$

$$\times(T[\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}](\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+T[\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}](-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))de_\lambda+$$

$$+2\pi ic_0^2\sqrt{z}\int\limits_0^\pi\int\limits_0^{2\pi}(\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda-p)}{|p|^2-z}dpde_\lambda+$$

$$+\pi ic_0^2\sqrt{z}V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_0^\pi\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\frac{(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\widetilde{q}(-\lambda-\sqrt{z}e_p)}{l-z}de_pd\lambda+$$

$$+F^{(3)}(k,0)+F^{(3)}(-k,0)+Q_3(k,0)+Q^{(3)}(k,0).$$

Let us divide the left and the right sides of the last equation by $-2\pi i$; then we obtain the statement of the lemma.
This proves Lemma 6.3.

Lemma 6.4 *Suppose that* $q\in\mathbf{R}$, $\phi_\pm|_{x=0,z=0}=0$, *then*

$$A_{\rm mv}(k)+A_{\rm mv}(-k)=$$

$$=-\frac{i\sqrt{z}}{4\pi q(0)}\int\limits_0^\pi\int\limits_0^{2\pi}(A(k,\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+A(-k,\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\int\limits_0^\infty f(se_\lambda,0)dsde_\lambda. \qquad (6.11)$$

Proof. Follows from representations (4.12),(4.1).
This proves Lemma 6.4.

7 Nonlinear Representation of Potential

Let us proceed to the construction of potential nonlinear representation .

Lemma 7.1 *Assume that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$*; then*

$$\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k) =$$

$$= -\pi i c_0 \sqrt{z} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) de_{\lambda} -$$

$$-\pi i c_0 \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) de_{\lambda} +$$

$$+\pi i c_0 \sqrt{z} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda})) \times$$

$$\times (T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](\sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) + T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](-\sqrt{z}e_{\lambda})) de_{\lambda} -$$

$$-c_0 \sqrt{z} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda})) V.p. \int_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_{\lambda} - p)}{|p|^2 - z} dp de_{\lambda} -$$

$$-c_0 \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2} V.p. \int_{R^3} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\widetilde{q}(k - \lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \lambda))\widetilde{q}(-\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p)}{l - z} de_p d\lambda -$$

$$-\frac{i\sqrt{z}}{4\pi c_0 q(0)} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (A(k, \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda}) + A(-k, \sqrt{z}e_{\lambda})) \int_0^{\infty} f(se_{\lambda}, 0) ds de_{\lambda} +$$

$$+ \frac{2\pi i}{c_0}(F^{(3)}(k,0) + F^{(3)}(-k,0) + Q_3(k,0) + Q^{(3)}(k,0)), \qquad (7.1)$$

where $Q_3(k,0)$, $Q^{(3)}(k,0)$ *are defined by formulas (6.7), (6.9) accordingly,*

$$F^{(3)}(k,0) = \phi_+^{(3)}(k,0) - \phi_-^{(3)}(k,0), \;\; F^{(3)}(-k,0) = \phi_+^{(3)}(-k,0) - \phi_-^{(3)}(-k,0),$$

and $\phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(\pm k, 0)$ *are term of order 3 and higher w.r.t.* $\widetilde{q}$ *in representations (5.11), (5.12).*

Proof. Equating the right sides of equations (6.3), (6.11) we obtain the result equation to express $\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k)$.
This proves Lemma 7.1.

Lemma 7.2 *suppose that* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$, *then*

$$V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\widetilde{q}(-\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p)}{l-z} de_p dl =$$

$$= \pi i \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) de_\lambda. \tag{7.2}$$

Proof. Consider the following integral

$$I = V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\widetilde{q}(-\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p)}{l-z} de_p d\lambda, \tag{7.3}$$

where $l = |\lambda|^2$, $z = |k|^2$.
By Fubini's theorem it follows that

$$I = \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{(\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda))\widetilde{q}(-\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p)}{l-z} d\lambda de_p.$$

Represent I in the form of the sum of two integrals

$$I = \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)\widetilde{q}(-\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p)}{l-z} d\lambda de_p +$$

$$+ \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-k-\lambda)\widetilde{q}(-\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p)}{l-z} d\lambda de_p.$$

In the second integral substitute the variables $-\lambda$ and $-e_p$ for the variables λ and e_p since $\lambda = -\lambda$ and $e_p = -e_p$, then get

$$I = \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(k-\lambda)\widetilde{q}(-\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p)}{l-z} d\lambda de_p +$$

$$+ \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p. \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{\widetilde{q}(-k+\lambda)\widetilde{q}(\lambda + \sqrt{z}e_p)}{l-z} d\lambda de_p.$$

Using the formulas of Fourier transformation, we represent I in the following form

$$I=\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{q(x)e^{i(k-\lambda,x)}q(y)e^{-i(\lambda+\sqrt{z}e_p,y)}}{l-z}dxdyd\lambda de_p+$$

$$+\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{q(x)e^{-i(k-\lambda,x)}q(y)e^{i(\lambda+\sqrt{z}e_p,y)}}{l-z}dxdyd\lambda de_p.$$

Let us introduce the following denotation

$$Q(x,y)=q(x)q(y),$$

$$G(x,y,k,e_p)=e^{i(k,x)}e^{-i(\sqrt{z}e_p,y)},\ G^*(x,y,k,e_p)=e^{-i(k,x)}e^{i(\sqrt{z}e_p,y)}.$$

Then

$$I=\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{Q(x,y)G(x,y,k,e_p)e^{-i(\lambda,x+y)}}{l-z}dxdyd\lambda de_p+$$

$$+\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{Q(x,y)G^*(x,y,k,e_p)e^{i(\lambda,x+y)}}{l-z}dxdyd\lambda de_p.$$

Represent I in the following form

$$I=\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3} Q(x,y)G(x,y,k,e_p)I_1dxdyde_p+$$

$$+\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3} Q(x,y)G^*(x,y,k,e_p)I_2dxdyde_p, \tag{7.4}$$

where

$$I_1=V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{-i(\lambda,x+y)}}{l-z}d\lambda,\ I_2=V.p.\int\limits_{R^3}\frac{e^{i(\lambda,x+y)}}{l-z}d\lambda.$$

In I_1, I_2, substituting t for $\sqrt{l}$, proceed to spherical coordinates, then we obtain

$$I_1=V.p.\int\limits_0^{\infty}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\frac{e^{-it|x+y|\cos\theta}}{t^2-z}t^2\sin\theta dtd\theta d\phi,$$

$$I_2 = V.p. \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it|x+y|\cos\theta}}{t^2 - z} t^2 \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi.$$

In I_1, I_2 add and subtract z under the integral

$$I_1 = V.p. \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-it|x+y|\cos\theta}}{t^2 - z} (t^2 - z + z) \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi,$$

$$I_2 = V.p. \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it|x+y|\cos\theta}}{t^2 - z} (t^2 - z + z) \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi.$$

Then

$$I_1 = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-it|x+y|\cos\theta} \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi + zV.p. \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-it|x+y|\cos\theta}}{t^2 - z} \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi,$$

$$I_2 = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it|x+y|\cos\theta} \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi + zV.p. \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it|x+y|\cos\theta}}{t^2 - z} \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi.$$

Taking into account distributions functions, it is easy to show that the first addends in I_1, I_2 are equal to zero, that is,

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-it|x+y|\cos\theta} \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi = 0, \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it|x+y|\cos\theta} \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi = 0,$$

therefore

$$I_1 = zV.p. \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-it|x+y|\cos\theta}}{t^2 - z} \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi,$$

$$I_2 = zV.p. \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it|x+y|\cos\theta}}{t^2 - z} \sin\theta dt d\theta d\phi.$$

Furthermore, in I_1, I_2 substitute t^2 for s as $s = t^2$, then

$$I_1 = \frac{z}{2} V.p. \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-i\sqrt{s}|x+y|\cos\theta}}{(s - z)\sqrt{s}} \sin\theta ds d\theta d\phi,$$

$$I_2 = \frac{z}{2} V.p. \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{s}|x+y|\cos\theta}}{(s-z)\sqrt{s}} \sin\theta ds d\theta d\phi.$$

Let us isert I_1, I_2 into I, i.e. in formula (7.4)

$$I = \frac{z}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R^3} \int_{R^3} Q(x,y) G(x,y,k,e_p) \times$$

$$\times V.p. \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-i\sqrt{s}|x+y|\cos\theta}}{(s-z)\sqrt{s}} \sin\theta ds d\theta d\phi dx dy de_p +$$

$$+\frac{z}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R^3} \int_{R^3} Q(x,y) G^*(x,y,k,e_p) \times$$

$$\times V.p. \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{s}|x+y|\cos\theta}}{(s-z)\sqrt{s}} \sin\theta ds d\theta d\phi dx dy de_p.$$

Note that the second term in I is conjugate to the first, by the fact that the potential q is real.
Let us introduce the designation $-i\sqrt{s} = i\sqrt{s}_-$ In the first term of I and in the designation $i\sqrt{s} = i\sqrt{s}_+$,in the second term of it, then

$$I = -\frac{z}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R^3} \int_{R^3} Q(x,y) G(x,y,k,e_p) \times$$

$$\times V.p. \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{s}_-|x+y|\cos\theta}}{(s-z)\sqrt{s}_-} \sin\theta ds d\theta d\phi dx dy de_p +$$

$$+\frac{z}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R^3} \int_{R^3} Q(x,y) G^*(x,y,k,e_p) \times$$

$$\times V.p. \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{s}_+|x+y|\cos\theta}}{(s-z)\sqrt{s}_+} \sin\theta ds d\theta d\phi dx dy de_p.$$

In the first member I use the equality $-\int\limits_0^\infty ds = \int\limits_\infty^0 ds$, then obtain

$$I = \frac{z}{2} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} Q(x,y) G(x,y,k,e_p) \times$$

$$\times V.p. \int\limits_\infty^0 \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{s_-}|x+y|\cos\theta}}{(s-z)\sqrt{s_-}} \sin\theta ds d\theta d\phi dx dy de_p +$$

$$+ \frac{z}{2} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} Q(x,y) G^*(x,y,k,e_p) \times$$

$$\times V.p. \int\limits_0^\infty \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\sqrt{s_+}|x+y|\cos\theta}}{(s-z)\sqrt{s_+}} \sin\theta ds d\theta d\phi dx dy de_p.$$

Now, it is possible to use Jordan's lemma and calculate the integral w.r.t. the variable s in I, maintaining the sign of $\cos\theta$. Then we finally obtain

$$I = \pi i \frac{z}{2} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} Q(x,y) G(x,y,k,e_p) \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\sqrt{z}|x+y|\cos\theta} \sin\theta d\theta d\phi dx dy de_p -$$

$$-\pi i \frac{z}{2} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_{R^3} Q(x,y) G^*(x,y,k,e_p) \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} e^{i\sqrt{z}|x+y|\cos\theta} \sin\theta d\theta d\phi dx dy de_p.$$

Back to the earlier coordinates, taking into consideration notations $Q(x,y)$, $G(x,y,k,e_p)$, $G^*(x,y,k,e_p)$ and using the formulas of Fourier transformation, obtain

$$I = \pi i \frac{z}{2} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda) \widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p) de_\lambda de_p -$$

$$-\pi i \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(-k + \sqrt{z}e_\lambda) \widetilde{q}(\sqrt{z}e_\lambda + \sqrt{z}e_p) de_\lambda de_p.$$

If we substitute $-e_\lambda$ and $-e_p$ for e_λ and e_p correspondingly as $e_\lambda = -e_\lambda$, $e_p = -e_p$ in the second term intergrals of I, then

$$I = \pi i \frac{z}{2} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda) \widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_p) de_\lambda de_p -$$

$$-\pi i\frac{\sqrt{z}}{2}\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda-\sqrt{z}e_p)de_\lambda de_p.$$

Using the formula of potential average (1.7), we get

$$I=\pi i\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)de_\lambda-\pi i\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)de_\lambda.$$

combining both terms of I

$$I=\pi i\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}(\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))q_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)de_\lambda.$$

To conclude the proof it remains to insert designation (7.3) into the left side of the last equation , then we get the statement of the lemma.
This proves lemma 7.2.

Lemma 7.3 *Let* $\widetilde{q}\in W_2^1(R)$ *and* $q\in R$*, then*

$$\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}(\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))(T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+T[\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}](-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))de_\lambda=$$

$$=\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}(\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))(\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))de_\lambda, \quad (7.5)$$

$$\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}(\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))V.p.\int_{R^3}\frac{\widetilde{q}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda-p)}{|p|^2-z}dpde_\lambda=$$

$$=\pi i\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}(\widetilde{q}(k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)+\widetilde{q}(-k-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda)de_\lambda. \quad (7.6)$$

Proof. This lemma must be proved in a similar way as Lemma 7.2.

Representation (7.1) and formulas (7.2), (7.5), (7.6) produce the required representation for the potential, that is, the following theorem can be considered correct.

Theorem 7.1 *Let* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$, *then*

$$\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k) + \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-k) =$$

$$= -\pi i c_0 \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda))\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(-\sqrt{z}e_\lambda) de_\lambda + \mu(k), \quad (7.7)$$

$$\mu(k) = \frac{2\pi i}{c_0}(F^{(3)}(k,0) + F^{(3)}(-k,0) + Q_3(k,0) + Q^{(3)}(k,0))$$

where $c_0 = 4\pi$.

Proof. Use in the right side of representation (7.1) formulas (7.2), (7.5), (7.6), summing similar members and taking into consideration that by Jordan' lemma $\int\limits_0^{\infty} f(se_\lambda, 0)ds = 0$, we finally get the statement of the theorem.

Theorem 7.2 *Suppose* $q \in \mathbf{R}$, $\phi_{\pm}|_{x=0,z=0} = 0$; *then*

$$\mu(k) = \sqrt{z} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{q}(-k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda) + \widetilde{q}(k - \sqrt{z}e_\lambda)) \times$$

$$\times\, \widetilde{q}(\sqrt{z}e_\lambda - \sqrt{z}e_s)\mu_0(\sqrt{z}e_s) de_\lambda de_s, \quad (7.8)$$

where $|\mu_0| < C|q_{\mathrm{mv}}|$

Proof. In a similar way, as we proved Lemma 7.1, the Lemma 7.2, the Lemma 7.3, and Theorem 2.1 obtain the statement of the theorem.
This concludes the proof of Theorem 7.2.

8 The Cauchy Problem for Navier-Stokes' Equations

Let us apply the obtained results to estimate the solutions of Cauchy problem for Navier-Stokes' set of equations

$$q_t - \nu\Delta q + \sum_{k=1}^{3} q_k q_{x_k} = -\nabla p + F_0(x,t), \quad divq = 0, \tag{8.1}$$

$$q|_{t=0} = q_0(x) \tag{8.2}$$

in the domain of $Q_T = R^3 \times (0,T)$. With respect to q_0, assume

$$div\ q_0 = 0. \tag{8.3}$$

Problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3) has at least one weak solution (q,p) in the so-called Leray-Hopf class, see [4].

Let us mention the known statements proved in [13].

Theorem 8.1 *Suppose that*

$$q_0 \in W_2^1(R^3), \ \ f \in L_2(Q_T);$$

then there exists a unique weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), in Q_{T_1}, $T_1 \in [0,T]$, *that satisfies*

$$q_t, q_{xx}, \quad \nabla p \in L_2(Q_T).$$

Note that T_1 *depends on* q_0, f.

Lemma 8.1 *If* $q_0 \in W_2^1(R^3)$, $f \in L_2(Q_T)$, *then*

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T} ||q||^2_{L_2(R^3)} + \int_0^t ||q_x||^2_{L_2(R^3)} d\tau \le ||q_0||^2_{L_2(R^3)} + ||F_0||_{L_2(Q_T)}$$

Our goal is to prove the global unicity weak solution of (8.1), (8.2), (8.3) irrespective of initial velocity and power smallness conditions.

Therefore let us obtain uniform estimates.

Statement 8.1 Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following equation

$$\widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda), t) = \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda)) +$$

$$+\int\limits_0^t e^{-\nu z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|(t-\tau)}(\widetilde{[(q,\nabla)q]}+\widetilde{F})(z(e_k-e_\lambda),\tau)d\tau, \qquad (8.4)$$

where $F=-\nabla p+F_0$.

Proof. The Proof follows from the definition of Fourier transformation and the formulas for linear differential equations.

Lemma 8.2 *The solution of the problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3) from Theorem 8.1, satisfies the following equation*

$$\widetilde{p}=\sum_{i,j}\frac{k_ik_j}{|k|^2}\widetilde{q_iq_j}+i\sum_i\frac{k_i}{|k|^2}\widetilde{F}_i$$

and the following estimates

$$||p||_{L_2(R^3)}\leq 3||q_x||^{\frac{3}{2}}_{L_2(R^3)}||q||^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_2(R^3)},$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{p}}{\partial k}\right|\leq\frac{|\widetilde{q}^2|}{|k|}+\frac{|\widetilde{F}|}{|k|^2}+\frac{1}{|k|}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{F}}{\partial k}\right|+3\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}^2}{\partial|k|}\right|;$$

Proof. We btain the equation for p using *div* and Fourier transformation. The estimates follow from the obtained equation.
This completes the proof of Lemma 8.2.

Lemma 8.3 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left[\int\limits_{R^3}|x|^2|q(x,t)|^2dx+\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_{R^3}|x|^2|q_x(x,\tau)|^2dxd\tau\right]\leq const,$$

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left[\int\limits_{R^3}|x|^4|q(x,t)|^2dx+\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_{R^3}|x|^4|q_x(x,\tau)|^2dxd\tau\right]\leq const,$$

or

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left[\left|\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}}{\partial z}\right|\right|_{L_2(R^3)}+\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_{R^3}z^2|\widetilde{q}_k(k,\tau)|^2dkd\tau\right]\leq const,$$

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left[\left|\left|\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}}{\partial z^2}\right|\right|_{L_2(R^3)}+\int\limits_0^t\int\limits_{R^3}z^2|\widetilde{q_{kk}}(k,\tau)|^2dkd\tau\right]\leq const.$$

Proof. The Proof follows from Navier-Stokes' equation, the first priori estimate formulated in Lemma 8.1 and obtained from Lemma 8.2.
This completes the proof of Lemma 8.3. ,

Lemma 8.4 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 , satisfies the following inequalities*

$$\max_k |\widetilde{q}| \leq \max_k |\widetilde{q}_0| + \frac{T}{2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} ||q||^2_{L_2(R^3)} + \int\limits_0^t ||q_x||^2_{L_2(R^3)} d\tau,$$

$$\max_k \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}}{\partial z} \right| \leq \max_k \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0}{\partial z} \right| + \frac{T}{2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left|\left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}}{\partial z} \right|\right|_{L_2(R^3)} + \int\limits_0^t \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 |\widetilde{q}_k(k,\tau)|^2 dk d\tau,$$

$$\max_k \left| \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}}{\partial z^2} \right| \leq \max_k \left| \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_0}{\partial z^2} \right| + \frac{T}{2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left|\left| \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}}{\partial z^2} \right|\right|_{L_2(R^3)} + \int\limits_0^t \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 |\widetilde{q_{kk}}(k,\tau)|^2 dk d\tau.$$

Proof. We obtain these estimates using representation (8.4), Parseval's equality, Cauchy - Bunyakovskiy inequality (8.4) by Lemma 8.3.
This proves Lemma 8.4.

Lemma 8.5 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities*

$$|\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(z,t)| \leq z M_1, \quad \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(z,t)}{\partial z} \right| \leq z M_2, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(z,t)}{\partial z^2} \right| \leq z M_3,$$

where M_1, M_2, M_3 are limited.

Proof. Let us prove the first estimate. These inequalities

$$|\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(z,t)| \leq \frac{z}{2} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_p), t)| de_p \leq 2\pi z \max_k |\widetilde{q}| \leq z M_1,$$

where $M_1 = const.$
follows from definition (2.2) for the average of q and from Lemmas 8.3, 8.4

The rest of estimates are proved similarly.
This proves Lemma 8.5.

Lemma 8.6 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities* $C_i \leq const, \ \ (i = \overline{0, 2, 4})$, *where*

$$C_0 = \int\limits_0^t |\widetilde{F}_1|^2 d\tau, \ F_1 = (q, \nabla)q + F, \ C_2 = \int\limits_0^t \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}\right|^2 d\tau, \ C_4 = \int\limits_0^t \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z^2}\right|^2 d\tau.$$

The Proof follows from the priori estimate of Lemma 8.1 and the statement of Lemma 8.3.
This completes the proof of lemma 8.6.

Lemma 8.7 *Suppose that* $q \in R$, $\max\limits_k |\widetilde{q}| < \infty$, *then*

$$\int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_{R^3} \frac{q(x)q(y)}{|x-y|^2} dxdy \leq C(|q|_{L_2} + \max_k |\widetilde{q}|)^2.$$

Proof. Using Plansherel's theorem, we get the statement of the lemma. This proves Lemma 8.7.

Lemma 8.8 *.Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities*

$$|\widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda), t)| \leq |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))| + \left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z|e_k - e_\lambda|}, \qquad (8.5)$$

where

$$C_0 = \int\limits_0^t |\widetilde{F}_1|^2 d\tau, F_1 = (q, \nabla)q + F.$$

Proof. From 8.4 we get

$$|\widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda), t)| \leq |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))| +$$

$$+ \left| \int\limits_0^t e^{-\nu z^2 |e_k - e_\lambda|^2 (t-\tau)} \widetilde{F}_1(z(e_k - e_\lambda), \tau) d\tau \right|, \qquad (8.6)$$

where

$$F_1 = (q, \nabla)q + F.$$

Using the denotation

$$I = \left| \int\limits_0^t e^{-\nu z^2 |e_k - e_\lambda|^2 (t-\tau)} \widetilde{F}_1(z(e_k - e_\lambda), \tau) d\tau \right|,$$

taking into account Holder's inequality in I we obtain

$$I \leq \left(\int\limits_0^t |e^{-\nu z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)}|^p d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int\limits_0^t |F_1|^q d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where p, q satisfies the equality $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Suppose $p = q = 2$. Then

$$I \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left(\int\limits_0^t |\widetilde{F}_1|^2 d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z|e_k-e_\lambda|}.$$

Taking into consideration the estimate I in (8.6), we obtain the statement of the lemma.
This proves Lemma 8.8.

Now, we have the uniform estimates of Rolnik norms for the solution of problems (8.1), (8.2), (8.3). Our further and basic aim is to get the uniform estimates $|\widetilde{q}_i|_{L_1(R^3)}$, a component of velocity components in the Cauchy problem for Navier-Stokes' equations. In order to achieve the aim, we use **Theorem 3.2.** it implies to get estimates of spherical average

Lemma 8.9 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities*

$$|\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\left(A_0^{(1)} + \beta_1 |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}|_{L_1(R^3)}\right) + |\mu|_{L_1(R^3)}, \tag{8.7}$$

the function μ is defined in lemma 7.10,

$$A_0^{(1)} = \int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))||\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)|de_\lambda dk, \beta_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 8\pi C_0^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and C_0, C_1 is defined in lemma 8.8.

Proof. From formula (7.7), we get the estimate

$$|\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)||\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)|de_\lambda dk + |\mu|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

(8.5) in the integral, we obtain

$$|\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\left(\int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda)||\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda, t)|de_\lambda dk + \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k,t)|\frac{de_\lambda}{|e_k - e_\lambda|}dk\right) + |\mu|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

Let us use the notation

$$A_0^{(1)} = \int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))||\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda, t)|de_\lambda dk,$$

then

$$|\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\left(A_0^{(1)} + \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k,t)|\frac{de_\lambda}{|e_k - e_\lambda|}dk\right) + |\mu|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

Let us use the notation

$$I_0 = \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{de_\lambda}{|e_k - e_\lambda|}$$

and obtain I_0. Since

$$|e_k - e_\lambda| = ((e_k - e_\lambda, e_k - e_\lambda))^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1 - \cos\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where θ is the angle between the unit vectors e_k, e_λ, it follows that

$$I_0 = 4\pi \int\limits_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin\theta}{(1 - \cos\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\theta = 2^{\frac{7}{2}}\pi.$$

Using I_0 in the estimate $|\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}|_{L_1(R^3)}$, we obtain the statement of the lemma. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.9.

Theorem 8.2 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities*

$$\left|\frac{\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\left(A_0 + \beta_1 \left|\frac{\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}\right) + \left|\frac{\mu}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}, \tag{8.8}$$

where

$$A_0 = \int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))| \ \ |\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda, t)| de_\lambda dk$$

and β_1 *is defined in lemma 8.9.*

Proof. Proof follows from (8.6), (8.7).

Corollary 8.1 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities*

$$\left|\frac{\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \le \left(\frac{C}{2}A_0 + \left|\frac{\mu}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}\right) K, \tag{8.9}$$

where

$$K = \frac{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} - 4\pi C C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Let's consider the influence of the following large scale transformations in Navier-Stokes' equation on K

$$t' = tA,\ \nu' = \frac{\nu}{A},\ v' = \frac{v}{A},\ F_0' = \frac{F_0}{A^2}.$$

Statement 8.2 Let

$$A = \frac{4}{\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}(CC_0 + 1)^{\frac{2}{3}}},$$

then $K \le \frac{8}{7}$.

Proof. By the definitions C and C_0, we have

$$K = \left(\frac{\nu}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{\nu}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{4\pi CC_0}{A^2}\right)^{-1} = \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{4\pi CC_0}{A^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)^{-1} < \frac{8}{7}.$$

This proves Statement 8.2.

Lemma 8.10 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities*

$$\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda), t)}{\partial z}\right| \le \left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right| +$$

$$+ 4\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z^2|e_k - e_\lambda|} + \left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z|e_k - e_\lambda|}, \tag{8.10}$$

where

$$C_2 = \int\limits_0^t \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}\right|^2 d\tau.$$

Proof. The underwritten inequalities follows from representation 8.4

$$\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda), t)}{\partial z}\right| \leq \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right| +$$

$$+2\nu z|e_k - e_\lambda|^2 \left|\int\limits_0^t (t-\tau) e^{-\nu z^2|e_k - e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)} \widetilde{F}_1(z(e_k - e_\lambda), \tau) d\tau\right| +$$

$$+ \left|\int\limits_0^t e^{-\nu z^2|e_k - e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)} \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}(z(e_k - e_\lambda), \tau) d\tau\right|.$$

Let us introduce the following denotation

$$I_1 = 2\nu z|e_k - e_\lambda|^2 \left|\int\limits_0^t (t-\tau) e^{-\nu z^2|e_k - e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)} \widetilde{F}_1(z(e_k - e_\lambda), \tau) d\tau\right|,$$

$$I_2 = \left|\int\limits_0^t e^{-\nu z^2|e_k - e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)} \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}(z(e_k - e_\lambda), \tau) d\tau\right|,$$

then

$$\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda), t)}{\partial z}\right| \leq \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right| + I_1 + I_2.$$

Estimate I_1 by means of

$$\sup_t |t^m e^{-t}| < \alpha,$$

where $m > 0$ we obtain

$$I_1 \leq \frac{4\alpha}{z} \left|\int\limits_0^t e^{-\nu z^2|e_k - e_\lambda|^2 \frac{t-\tau}{2}} \widetilde{F}_1(z(e_k - e_\lambda), \tau) d\tau\right|.$$

On applying Holder's inequality, we get

$$I_1 \leq \frac{4\alpha}{z} \left(\int\limits_0^t |e^{-\nu z^2|e_k - e_\lambda|^2 \frac{t-\tau}{2}}|^p d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int\limits_0^t |F_1|^q d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where p, q satisfy the equality $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
For $p=q=2$ we have

$$I_1 \leq 4\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|}.I_2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z|e_k-e_\lambda|}, C_2=\int\limits_0^t \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}\right|^2 d\tau.$$

Inserting I_1, I_2 in to $\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}}{\partial z}\right|$, we obtain the statement of the lemma.
This completes the proof of Lemma 8.10.

Theorem 8.3 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities*

$$\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\Big(A_0+A_1+A_2+\beta_3\left|\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}+$$

$$+(\beta_1+\beta_2)\left|\frac{\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}+\beta_1\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}\Bigg)+\left|\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}, \qquad (8.11)$$

where

$$A_1=\int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right| |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk,$$

$$A_2=\int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))| \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right| de_\lambda dk,$$

$$\beta_2=\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{11}{2}}\pi\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \beta_3=\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 8\pi C_2^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and C_2 is defined in lemma 8.10, $C=const$.

Proof. From (7.7) we get the following estimate

$$\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\left(\int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)||\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk+\right.$$

$$+\int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^\pi \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z}\right| |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk+$$

$$+\int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda),t)| \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z} \right| de_\lambda dk \Bigg) + \left| \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

Let us introduce the following denotation

$$I_1 = \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda),t)||\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk,$$

$$I_2 = \int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z} \right| |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk,$$

$$I_3 = \int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}(z(e_k - e_\lambda),t)| \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z} \right| de_\lambda dk,$$

then

$$\left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}(I_1 + I_2 + I_3) + \left| \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

The estimate of I_1 was obtained in theorem 8.1. , therefore from (8.5), (8.8), it follows that

$$I_1 \leq A_0 + \beta_1 \left| \frac{\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

Inserting inequality (8.10) into I_2, we get

$$I_2 \leq \int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))}{\partial z} \right| |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk +$$

$$+4\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k,t)|}{z} dk + \left(\frac{1}{2\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0 \int\limits_{R^3} |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(k,t)| dk,$$

Let us take into account the estimate of I_0 obtained in lemma 8.10,

$$I_0 = \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \frac{de_\lambda}{|e_k - e_\lambda|} = 2^{\frac{7}{2}}\pi.$$

Inserting this value in I_2, we obtain

$$I_2 \leq \int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))}{\partial z} \right| |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk +$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{11}{2}}\pi\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{|\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(k,t)|}{z} dk+$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 8\pi C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{R^3} |\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(k,t)| dk.$$

Let us introduce the following denotation

$$A_1 = \int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right| |\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk,$$

then

$$I_2 \le A_1 + \beta_2 \left|\frac{\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} + \beta_3 \left|\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}\right|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

where

$$\beta_2 = \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{11}{2}}\pi\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \beta_3 = \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 8\pi C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using inequality (8.6) in I_3, we get

$$I_3 \le \int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))| \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right| de_\lambda dk + \left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(k,t)}{\partial z}\right| dk.$$

Similarly as we estimated I_2, obtain

$$I_3 \le A_2 + \beta_1 \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

where

$$A_2 = \int\limits_{R^3} z \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))| \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right| de_\lambda dk.$$

Inserting $I_1,\ \ I_2,\ \ I_3 \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}$, we obtain the statement of the theorem. This completes the proof of Theorem 8.3.

Lemma 8.11 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following inequalities*

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z^2}\right| \le \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z^2}\right| +$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{16\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z^3|e_k-e_\lambda|}+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{8\alpha C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|}+\left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{C_4^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z|e_k-e_\lambda|}, \qquad (8.12)$$

where

$$\sup_t |t^m e^{-t}| < \alpha,$$

as $m > 0$ *,*

$$C_4 = \int\limits_0^t \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z^2}\right|^2 d\tau.$$

Proof. From (8.4) we have the following inequalities

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z^2}\right| \le \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z^2}\right| +$$

$$+4\nu^2 z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|^4\left|\int\limits_0^t (t-\tau)^2 e^{-\nu z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)}\widetilde{F}_1(z(e_k-e_\lambda),\tau)d\tau\right| +$$

$$+4\nu z|e_k-e_\lambda|^2\left|\int\limits_0^t (t-\tau) e^{-\nu z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)}\frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),\tau)d\tau\right| + .$$

$$+\left|\int\limits_0^t e^{-\nu z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)}\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z^2}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),\tau)d\tau\right|.$$

Let us introduce the following denotation

$$I_1 = 4\nu^2 z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|^4\left|\int\limits_0^t (t-\tau)^2 e^{-\nu z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)}\widetilde{F}_1(z(e_k-e_\lambda),\tau)d\tau\right|,$$

$$I_2 = 4\nu z|e_k-e_\lambda|^2\left|\int\limits_0^t (t-\tau) e^{-\nu z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)}\frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),\tau)d\tau\right|,$$

$$I_3 = \left|\int\limits_0^t e^{-\nu z^2|e_k-e_\lambda|^2(t-\tau)}\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z^2}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),\tau)d\tau\right|,$$

then

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z^2}\right| \le \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z^2}\right| + I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

Using the estimate

$$\sup_t |t^m e^{-t}| < \alpha,$$

as $m > 0$, we estimate $I_1,\ I_2$

$$I_1 \leq \frac{16\alpha}{z^2}\left|\int_0^t e^{-\nu z^2 |e_k - e_\lambda|^2 \frac{t-\tau}{2}} \widetilde{F}_1(z(e_k - e_\lambda), \tau) d\tau\right|, .$$

$$I_2 \leq \frac{8\alpha}{z}\left|\int_0^t e^{-\nu z^2 |e_k - e_\lambda|^2 \frac{t-\tau}{2}} \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}(z(e_k - e_\lambda), \tau) d\tau\right|.$$

Using Holder's inequality

$$I_1 \leq \frac{16\alpha}{z^2}\left(\int_0^t |e^{-\nu z^2 |e_k - e_\lambda|^2 \frac{t-\tau}{2}}|^p d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^t |\widetilde{F}_1|^q d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

$$I_2 \leq \frac{8\alpha}{z}\left(\int_0^t |e^{-\nu z^2 |e_k - e_\lambda|^2 \frac{t-\tau}{2}}|^p d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^t \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}\right|^q d\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where p, q satisfy the equality $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.
For $p = q = 2$ we get

$$I_1 \leq 16\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z^3 |e_k - e_\lambda|}, I_2 \leq 8\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z^2 |e_k - e_\lambda|}.$$

Taking into account Holder's inequality for I_3, we get

$$I_3 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{C_4^{\frac{1}{2}}}{z |e_k - e_\lambda|}, C_4 = \int_0^t \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z^2}\right|^2 d\tau.$$

Inserting $I_1,\ I_2,\ I_3$ in $\left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}}{\partial z^2}\right|$, we get the statement of the lemma.
This completes the proof of Lemma 8.11.

Theorem 8.4 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following estimate*

$$\left|z \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\left(2(A_1 + A_2 + A_3) + A_4 + A_5 + (2\beta_2 + \beta_4)\left|\frac{\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} + \right.$$

$$+(2\beta_3+\beta_5)\left|\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}+\beta_6\left|z\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}+2(\beta_1+\beta_2)\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}+$$

$$+2\beta_3\left|z\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}+\beta_1\left|z\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}\Bigg)+\left|z\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}, \qquad (8.13)$$

where

$$A_3=\int\limits_{R^3}z^2\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right|\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right|de_\lambda dk,$$

$$A_4=\int\limits_{R^3}z^2\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z^2}\right||\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)|de_\lambda dk,$$

$$A_5=\int\limits_{R^3}z^2\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}|\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))|\left|\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z^2}\right|de_\lambda dk,$$

$$\beta_4=\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac12}2^{\frac{15}{2}}\pi\alpha C_0^{\frac12},\ \beta_5=\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac12}2^{\frac{13}{2}}\pi\alpha C_2^{\frac12},\ \beta_6=\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac12}8\pi C_4^{\frac12},$$

and C_4 *is defined in Lemma 8.11.*

Proof. From (7.7) we have the estimate

$$\left|z\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}\le\frac{C}{2}\Bigg(2\int\limits_{R^3}z\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}|\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)|\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right|de_\lambda dk+$$

$$+2\int\limits_{R^3}z\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z}\right||\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)|de_\lambda dk+$$

$$+2\int\limits_{R^3}z^2\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z}\right|\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right|de_\lambda dk+$$

$$+\int\limits_{R^3}z^2\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z^2}\right||\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)|de_\lambda dk+$$

$$+\int\limits_{R^3}z^2\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}|\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)|\left|\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z^2}\right|de_\lambda dk\Bigg)+$$

$$+\left|z\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}=\frac{C}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{5}I_j+\left|z\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

Let us use the estimates for $I_1,\ I_2$

$$I_1=2\int\limits_{R^3}z\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)\right|\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right|de_\lambda dk\le$$

$$\le 2\left(A_1+\beta_2\left|\frac{\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}+\beta_3\left|\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}\right),$$

$$I_2=2\int\limits_{R^3}z\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z}\right|\left|\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)\right|de_\lambda dk\le$$

$$\le 2\left(A_2+\beta_1\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}\right).$$

Let us use inequality (8.10) to estimate I_3, then we get

$$I_3=2\int\limits_{R^3}z^2\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z}\right|\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right|de_\lambda dk<$$

$$<2\left(\int\limits_{R^3}z^2\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right|\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right|de_\lambda dk+\right.$$

$$\left.+4\alpha\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}I_0\int\limits_{R^3}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(k,t)}{\partial z}\right|dk+\left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}I_0\int\limits_{R^3}z\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(k,t)}{\partial z}\right|dk\right).$$

Inserting the value of the integral I_0, from Lemma 8.3, we get

$$I_3\le 2\left(\int\limits_{R^3}z^2\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right|\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right|de_\lambda dk+\right.$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{\frac{11}{2}}\pi\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\int\limits_{R^3}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(k,t)}{\partial z}\right|dk+$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 8\pi C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{R^3} z\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)}{\partial z}\right| dk\right) =$$

$$= 2\left(\int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right| \left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right| de_\lambda dk+\right.$$

$$\left.+\beta_2\int\limits_{R^3}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)}{\partial z}\right| dk+\beta_3\int\limits_{R^3} z\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)}{\partial z}\right| dk\right).$$

Let us introduce the following denotation

$$A_3 = \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right| \left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z}\right| de_\lambda dk,$$

then

$$I_3 \le 2\left(A_3+\beta_2\int\limits_{R^3}\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)}{\partial z}\right| dk+\beta_3\int\limits_{R^3} z\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)}{\partial z}\right| dk\right).$$

Applying inequality (8.12) to estimate I_4, we get

$$I_4 = \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)}{\partial z^2}\right| |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk \le$$

$$\le \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z^2}\right| |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk+$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 16\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{1}{z}|\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)| dk+$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 8\alpha C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0 \int\limits_{R^3} |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)| dk+\left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_4^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0 \int\limits_{R^3} z|\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)| dk.$$

Inserting the value of I_0, we obtain

$$I_4 \le \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z^2}\right| |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)| de_\lambda dk+$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{15}{2}}\pi\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{1}{z}|\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)|dk+$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{13}{2}}\pi\alpha C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{R^3} |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)|dk + \left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 8\pi C_4^{\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{R^3} z|\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)|dk.$$

Let us introduce the following denotation

$$\beta_4 = \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{15}{2}}\pi\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \beta_5 = \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{13}{2}}\pi\alpha C_2^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \beta_6 = \left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 8\pi C_4^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

then

$$I_4 \le \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z^2}\right| |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)|de_\lambda dk + \beta_4 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{1}{z}|\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)|dk+$$

$$+\beta_5 \int\limits_{R^3} |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)|dk + \beta_6 \int\limits_{R^3} z|\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)|dk.$$

Introduce the denotation

$$A_4 = \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))}{\partial z^2}\right| |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)|de_\lambda dk,$$

then

$$I_4 \le A_4 + \beta_4 \int\limits_{R^3} \frac{1}{z}|\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)|dk + \beta_5 \int\limits_{R^3} |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)|dk + \beta_6 \int\limits_{R^3} z|\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)|dk.$$

Using inequality (8.6) to estimate I_5 , we obtain

$$I_5 = \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}(z(e_k-e_\lambda),t)| \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z^2}\right| de_\lambda dk \le$$

$$\le \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k-e_\lambda))| \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda,t)}{\partial z^2}\right| de_\lambda dk+$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{2\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} I_0 \int\limits_{R^3} z \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(k,t)}{\partial z^2}\right| dk.$$

Inserting the value of the integral I_0, we obtain

$$I_5 \le \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))| \left| \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda, t)}{\partial z^2} \right| de_\lambda dk + \beta_1 \left| z \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z^2} \right|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

Let us introduce the following denotation

$$A_5 = \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))| \left| \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda, t)}{\partial z^2} \right| de_\lambda dk,$$

then

$$I_5 \le A_5 + \beta_1 \left| z \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z^2} \right|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

Inserting $I_j, \quad (j = 1, ..., 5)$ in $\left| z \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z^2} \right|_{L_1(R^3)}$, we obtain the statement of the theorem.

This completes the proof of Theorem 8.4.

Lemma 8.12 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following estimate*

$$\left| \frac{\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} \le B_0 K, \tag{8.14}$$

$$|\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}|_{L_1(R^3)} \le B_1 K, \tag{8.15}$$

$$|z\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}|_{L_1(R^3)} \le B_2 K, \tag{8.16}$$

where

$$K = \frac{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} - 4\pi C C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}, B_0 = \frac{C}{2} A_0 + \left| \frac{\mu}{z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

$$B_1 = \frac{C}{2} A_0^{(1)} + |\mu|_{L_1(R^3)}, \quad B_2 = \frac{C}{2} A_0^{(2)} + |z\mu|_{L_1(R^3)}, \tag{8.17}$$

$$A_0^{(2)} = \int\limits_{R^3} \int\limits_0^{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} z^2 |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))| |\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}(ze_\lambda, t)| de_\lambda dk.$$

Proof. From inequality (8.5) and estimate (8.7), we make the sequence of estimates

$$|z^n \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}|_{L_1(R^3)} \le \frac{C}{2} \left(A_0^{(n+1)} + \beta_1 \, |z^n \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}|_{L_1(R^3)} \right) + |z^n \mu|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

where

$$A_0^{(n+1)} = \int\limits_{R^3}\int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} z^{n+1}|\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))||\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda, t)|de_\lambda dk,$$

$$\beta_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 8\pi C_0^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and n is an exponent of z. From this recurrence formula, as $n = 0, \ \ n = -1$, we get estimates (8.7) and (8.8) accordingly.

For $n = 1$ we have

$$|z\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2}\left(A_0^{(2)} + \beta_1 \, |z\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}|_{L_1(R^3)}\right) + |z\mu|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

Considering estimates (8.7), (8.8) and the last estimate, we obtain the statement of the lemma.
This proves Lemma 8.12.

Lemma 8.13 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following estimates*

$$\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq D_0K^2 + D_1K, \tag{8.18}$$

$$\left|z\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq D_2K^2 + D_3K, \tag{8.19}$$

where

$$D_0 = \frac{C}{2}\left(\beta_3^{(0)}B_1 + (\beta_1^{(0)} + \beta_2^{(0)})B_0\right), \ D_1 = \frac{C}{2}\left(A_0 + A_1 + A_2\right) + \left|\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

$$D_2 = \frac{C}{2}\left(\beta_3^{(0)}B_2 + (\beta_1^{(0)} + \beta_2^{(0)})B_1\right),$$

$$D_3 = \frac{C}{2}\left(A_0^{(1)} + A_1^{(1)} + A_2^{(1)}\right) + \left|z\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

$$A_1^{(1)} = \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))}{\partial z}\right| |\widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda, t)|de_\lambda dk,$$

$$A_2^{(1)} = \int\limits_{R^3} z^2 \int\limits_0^{\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))| \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\text{mv}}(ze_\lambda, t)}{\partial z}\right| de_\lambda dk,$$

$$\beta_1^{(0)} = \frac{8\pi C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \ \ \beta_2^{(0)} = \frac{2^{\frac{11}{2}}\pi\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \ \ \beta_3^{(0)} = \frac{8\pi C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

Proof. From inequality (8.10) and estimate (8.11), let us make the sequence of estimates

$$\left| z^n \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2} \left(A_0^{(n)} + A_1^{(n)} + A_2^{(n)} + \beta_3 \left| z^n \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} + \right.$$

$$\left. +(\beta_1 + \beta_2) \left| \frac{\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{z^{1-n}} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} + \beta_1 \left| z^n \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} \right) + \left| z^n \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

where

$$A_0^{(n)} = \int_{R^3} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} z^n |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))| |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda, t)| de_\lambda dk,$$

$$A_1^{(n)} = \int_{R^3} z^{n+1} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))}{\partial z} \right| |\widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda, t)| de_\lambda dk,$$

$$A_2^{(n)} = \int_{R^3} z^{n+1} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\widetilde{q}_0(z(e_k - e_\lambda))| \left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}(ze_\lambda, t)}{\partial z} \right| de_\lambda dk,$$

and n is an exponent of z. From this recurrence formula, we get estimate (8.7) and (8.8) for $n = 0, \ \ n = 1$, accordingly. And

$$\left| z \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \frac{C}{2} \left(A_0^{(1)} + A_1^{(1)} + A_2^{(1)} + \beta_3 \left| z \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} + \right.$$

$$\left. + (\beta_1 + \beta_2) \left| \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} + \beta_1 \left| z \frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} \right) + \left| z \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z} \right|_{L_1(R^3)}$$

Considering estimate (8.7) and the last estimate, we obtain the statement of the lemma.
This completes the proof of Lemma 8.13.

Lemma 8.14 *The solution of the problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1, satisfies the following estimate*

$$\left| z \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\mathrm{mv}}}{\partial z^2} \right|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq P_0 K^3 + P_1 K^2 + P_2 K, \tag{8.20}$$

where

$$P_0 = C(\beta_3^{(0)} D_2 + (\beta_1^{(0)} + \beta_2^{(0)}) D_0),$$

$$P_1 = \frac{C}{2}\Big((2\beta_2^{(0)} + \beta_4^{(0)}) B_0 + (2\beta_3^{(0)} + \beta_5^{(0)}) B_1 +$$

$$+\beta_6^{(0)} B_2 + 2\beta_3^{(0)} D_3 + 2(\beta_1^{(0)} + \beta_2^{(0)}) D_1\Big),$$

$$P_2 = \frac{C}{2}\left(2(A_1 + A_2 + A_3) + A_4 + A_5\right) + \left| z\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

$$\beta_4^{(0)} = \frac{2^{\frac{15}{2}}\pi\alpha C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \;\; \beta_5^{(0)} = \frac{2^{\frac{13}{2}}\pi\alpha C_2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \;\; \beta_6^{(0)} = \frac{8\pi C_4^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Proof. From (8.13), we obtain the following estimate

$$\left| z\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \le \Bigg(\frac{C}{2}\left(2(A_1 + A_2 + A_3) + A_4 + A_5 +\right.$$

$$+(2\beta_2^{(0)} + \beta_4(0))\left|\frac{\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} + (2\beta_3(0) + \beta_5(0))|\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}|_{L_1(R^3)} +$$

$$+\beta_6(0)|z\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}|_{L_1(R^3)} + 2(\beta_1(0) + \beta_2(0))\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} +$$

$$\left.+2\beta_3(0)\left| z\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}\right) + \left| z\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}\Bigg).$$

Using estimates (8.14)-(8.19) in the last inequality, we obtain the statement of the lemma.
This proves Lemma 8.14.

Theorem 8.5 *The solution of the problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1, satisfies the following estimate*

$$|\widetilde{q}|_{L_1(R^3)} \le \left(\gamma_1 C_0 + \gamma_2 C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \gamma_3 C_2\right) K^3 +$$

$$+\left(\gamma_4 C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \gamma_5 C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \gamma_6 C_4^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) K^2 + \left(\gamma_7 C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \gamma_8 C_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \gamma_9\right) K,$$

where

$$K = \frac{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} - 4\pi C C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}, C_0 = \int\limits_0^t |\widetilde{F}_1|^2 d\tau, \;\; F_1 = (q, \nabla)q + F,$$

$$C_2 = \int\limits_0^t \left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z}\right|^2 d\tau, \ \ C_4 = \int\limits_0^t \left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{F}_1}{\partial z^2}\right|^2 d\tau,$$

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{C^2 2^3 \pi^2}{\nu}(1 + 2^{\frac{5}{2}})B_0, \ \gamma_2 = \frac{C^2 2^4 \pi^2}{\nu}(1 + 2^{\frac{5}{2}})B_1,$$

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{C^2 2^3 \pi^2}{\nu} B_2, \ \gamma_4 = \frac{C 2^3 \pi}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left((1 + 2^{\frac{9}{2}})B_0 + (1 + 2^{\frac{5}{2}})D_1\right),$$

$$\gamma_5 = \frac{C 2^3 \pi}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left((1 + 2^{\frac{3}{2}})B_1 + D_3\right), \ \gamma_6 = \frac{C 2^3 \pi}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

$$\gamma_7 = \frac{C 2^2 \pi}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}}(1 + 2^{\frac{5}{2}})B_0, \ \gamma_8 = \frac{C 2^2 \pi}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}} B_1, \ \gamma_9 = \frac{C}{2}(D_1 + P_2),$$

$$B_0 = \frac{C}{2}A_0 + \left|\frac{\mu}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}, \ B_1 = \frac{C}{2}A_0^{(1)} + |\mu|_{L_1(R^3)}, \ B_2 = \frac{C}{2}A_0^{(2)} + |z\mu|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

$$D_1 = \frac{C}{2}\left(A_0 + A_1 + A_2\right) + \left|\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

$$D_3 = \frac{C}{2}\left(A_0^{(1)} + A_1^{(1)} + A_2^{(1)}\right) + \left|z\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)},$$

$$P_2 = \frac{C}{2}\left(2(A_1 + A_2 + A_3) + A_4 + A_5\right) + \left|z\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}, \ \ \frac{C}{2} = \frac{9\pi}{4(2\pi)^3},$$

the function μ is defined in Lemma 7.9.

Proof. In section 3 we proved estimate (3.11)

$$|\widetilde{q}|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq \left|\frac{\widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} + 2\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} + \frac{1}{4}\left|z\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_{\rm mv}}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)}.$$

Using estimates (8.14), (8.18), (8.20) in the right side of this inequality, we get

$$|\widetilde{q}|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq B_0 K + 2(D_0 K^2 + D_1 K) + \frac{1}{4}(P_0 K^3 + P_1 K^2 + P_2 K) \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}P_0 K^3 + (2D_0 + P_1)K^2 + (B_0 + D_1 + P_2)K,$$

where $B_i, \ K$ are defined in Lemma 8.12, D_i is defined in Lemma 8.14, and P_i is defined in Lemma 8.15. Taking into account these notations and calculating the coefficients at $C_0, \ C_2, \ C_4$, we obtain the statement of the theorem. This proves Theorem 8.5.

Lemma 8.15 *The function μ, defined in Lemma 7.10, satisfies the following estimates*

$$|\mu|_{L_1(R^3)} \le const, \ \ |z\mu|_{L_1(R^3)} \le const, \ \ \left|\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \le const,$$

$$\left|z\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \le const, \ \ \left|z\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial z^2}\right|_{L_1(R^3)} \le const.$$

Proof. We can get the estimate of cubic members w.r.t. $\widetilde{q}$ in μ if we resume all the methods for estimating square members w.r.t. $\widetilde{q}$.
This completes the proof of Lemma 8.15

Lemma 8.16 *Weak solution of problem (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), from Theorem 8.1 satisfies the following estimates*

$$A_0 \le 2M_1 \int\limits_{R^3} (|\widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)|)_{\text{mv}} dk, \ \ A_0^{(1)} \le 2M_1 \int\limits_{R^3} z(|\widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)|)_{\text{mv}} dk,$$

$$A_0^{(2)} \le 2M_1 \int\limits_{R^3} z^2(|\widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)|)_{\text{mv}} dk, \ \ A_1 \le 2M_1 \int\limits_{R^3} z\left(\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)}{\partial z}\right|\right)_{\text{mv}} dk,$$

$$A_1^{(1)} \le 2M_1 \int\limits_{R^3} z^2\left(\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)}{\partial z}\right|\right)_{\text{mv}} dk, \ \ A_2 \le 2M_2 \int\limits_{R^3} z(|\widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)|)_{\text{mv}} dk,$$

$$A_2^{(1)} \le 2M_2 \int\limits_{R^3} z^2(|\widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)|)_{\text{mv}} dk, \ \ A_3 \le 2M_2 \int\limits_{R^3} z^2\left(\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)}{\partial z}\right|\right)_{\text{mv}} dk,$$

$$A_4 \le 2M_1 \int\limits_{R^3} z^2\left(\left|\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)}{\partial z^2}\right|\right)_{\text{mv}} dk, \ \ A_5 \le 2M_3 \int\limits_{R^3} z^2(|\widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)|)_{\text{mv}} dk.$$

Proof. The proof follows from Lemmas 8.3, 8.4, 8.5.
This proves Lemma 8.16.

Theorem 8.6 *Suppose that*

$$q_0 \in W_2^1(R^3), \ F_0 \in L_2(Q_T), \ \widetilde{F}_0 \in L_1(Q_T),$$

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{F}_0}{\partial z} \in L_1(Q_T), \ \ \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{F}_0}{\partial z^2} \in L_1(Q_T), \widetilde{q}_0 \in L_1(R^3),$$

$$I_j = \int\limits_{R^3} z^{j-1}\Bigg(|\widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)|\Bigg)_{\text{mv}} dk \leq const, (j=\overline{1,3}),$$

$$I_j = \int\limits_{R^3} z^{j-3}\Bigg(\left|\frac{\partial\widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)}{\partial z}\right|\Bigg)_{\text{mv}} dk \leq const, (j=\overline{4,5}),$$

$$I_6 = \int\limits_{R^3} z^{2}\Bigg(\left|\frac{\partial^2\widetilde{q}_0(ze_k)}{\partial z^2}\right|\Bigg)_{\text{mv}} dk \leq const.$$

Then there exists a unique weak solution of (8.1), (8.2), (8.3), satisfying the following inequalities

$$\max_t \sum_{i=1}^{3} |\widetilde{q}_i|_{L_1(R^3)} \leq const,$$

where const depends only on the theorem conditions.

Proof. It is sufficient to get uniform estimates of the maximum q_i to prove that the theorem .These obviously follow from the estimate $|\widetilde{q}_i|_{L_1(R^3)}$. Uniform estimates allow to extend the rules of the local existence and unicity local to an interval, where they are correct. To estimate the component of velocity, we use statement 8.2

$$q_i = \frac{q_i}{\int\limits_0^T ||q_x||^2_{L_2(R^3)}dt + A + 1}, \ A = \frac{4}{\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}(CC_0+1)^{\frac{2}{3}}}.$$

Using Lemmas 8.6, 8.7 for the potential

$$q_i = \frac{q_i}{\int\limits_0^T ||q_x||^2_{L_2(R^3)}dt + A + 1}$$

we have $N(q_i) < 1$, i.e., it is not necessary to take into account normalization numbers when proving the theorem. Now the statetement of the theorem follows from Theorems 8.5, 8.2, Lemmas 8.6, 8.15, 8.16 and the conditions of Theorem 8.6, that give uniform of velocity maxima at a specified interval of time.

This comletes the proof of Theorem 8.6.

Note. In the estimate for $\widetilde{q}$ the condition $q(0) > 1$ is used. This conditioncan be obviated if we use smooth and bounded function w and make all the estimates for $q_1 = q + w$ such that $q_1(0) > 1$ is satisfied. Using the function w, we also choose the constant A concordant with the constant ϵ from Lemma 4.2.

Theorem 8.6 proves the global solvability and unicity of the Cauchy problem for Navier-Stokes' equation.

CONCLUSION

In Introduction we mentioned the authors whose scientific researches we consider appropriate to call the prehistory of this work. The list of these authors may be considerably extended if we enumerate all the predecessors diachronically or by the significance of their contribution into this research. Actually we intended to obtain evident results which were directly and indirectly indicated by these authors in their scientific works. We do not concentrate on the solution to the multi-dimensional problem of quantum scattering theory although it follows from some certain statements proved in this work. In fact, the problem of overdetermination in the multi-dimensional inverse problem of quantum scattering theory is obviated since a potential can be defined by amplitude averaging when the amplitude is a function of three variables. In the classic case of the multi-dimensional inverse problem of quantum scattering theory the potential requires restoring with respect to the amplitude that depends on five variables. This obviously leads to the problem of overdetermination. Further detalization could have distracted us from the general research line of the work consisting in application of energy and momentum conservation laws in terms of wave functions to the theory of nonlinear equations. This very method we use in solving the problem of the century, the problem of solvability of the Cauchy problem for Navier-Stokes' equations of viscous incompressible fluid. Let us also note the importance of the fact that the laws of momentum and energy conservation in terms of wave functions are conservation laws in the microworld; but in the classic methods of studying nonlinear equations scientists usually use the priori estimates reflecting the conservation laws of macroscopic quantities. We did not focus attention either on obtaining exact estimates dependent on viscosity, lest the calculations be complicated. However, the pilot analysis shows the possibility of applying these estimates to the problem of limiting viscocity transition tending to zero.

References

1. L.D. Faddeev, *Inverse problem of quantum theory of scattering II. The book: Modern problems of mathematicians. - M.: VINITI, 1974. - Vol. 3 - p. 93-180.*

2. A.A. Durmagambetov, L.S. Fazylova. *Some methods of solving nonlinear equations // Herald of the Karaganda University. Vol.1. - Karaganda: Publishers KarGU, 1997. - p. 6 - 17.*

3. A.A. Durmagambetov, *Inverse problem of quantum theory of scattering / Moscow international conference "International conference on Inverse and III-posed Problems". Moscow. - 1996. - p. 27-30.*

4. J.Leray, *Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visquex emplissant l'espace,Acta Math, 63(1934) ,pp193-248*

5. A.G. Ramm, *Multidimensional inverse scattering problems, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 51, Longman Scientific & Technical, Haplow, 1992, p. 379*

6. R.G. Novikov ,G.M. Henkin $\overline{\partial}$ *- Equation in multidimensional inverse problem of scattering // Success in mathematics. - 1987. - N 3. - p. 93 - 152.*

7. R. Newton, *Inverse Shrödinger scattering in three dimensions.*

8. M.Rid, B.Saymon. *Methods of modern mathematic physics. Vol.3. Theory of scattering. -M.: Mir, 1982. - p. 442*

9. A.Povzner, *About decomposition of functions, into eigenfunctions of operator* $-\Delta u + Cu$ *// Mathematics collection. - 1953. - Vol. 32 (74) - N 1. - p. 108 - 156.*

10. E.Titchmarsh, *Decompositions into eigenfunctions connected with differential equations of the second order. Vol. I - M.: Publishers of the foreign literature, 1960. - p. 278*

11. K.Shadan ,P.Sabatie, *Inverse problems in quantum theory of scattering. - M.: Mir, 1980. - p. 408*

12. V.S. Vladimirov, *Equations of mathematic physics. - M.: Science, 1971. - p. 512*

13. O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, *Mathematic problems of viscous incondensable liquid dynamics. - M.: Science, 1970. - p. 288*

14. M.Rid, B.Saymon, *Methods of modern mathematic physics. Vol.4. Analysis of operators. -M.: Mir, 1982. - p. 428*

15. Solonnikov V.A. *Estimates solving nonstationary linearized systems of Navier-Stokes' Equations. - Transactions Academy of Sciences USSR Vol. 70, 1964. - p. 213 - 317.*

16. F.D. Gakhov, Boundary Problems. *PhisMatGiz. - M., 1963. - p. 460*